NORTHERN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY OF TELANGANA LTD.



RESPONSES TO OBJECTIONS / SUGGESTIONS

On

Filings of DB true up for 1st, 2nd & 3rd Control Periods and APR for FY 2019-20 & FY 2020-21 Petitions

INDEX

S.No.	Name and Address of the Objector	Pg.No.			
1	binath Injeti, CEO, SICMA (South Indian Cement Manufacturers' Association), 3rd Floor, 36th are, Plot No. 481, Road No. 36, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad – 500034, Telangana				
2	T. Sujatha, Dy. CEO, FTCCI (The Federation of Telangana Chambers of Commerce and Industry), Federation House Federation Marg, 11-6-841, Red Hills, Hyderabad 500004, Telangana India, Ph. 91-040-23395515 to 22, Email id:- info@ftcci.in				
3	R K Agarwal, Chairman, Telangana Spinning and Textile Mills Association, Hyderabad				
4	Arun Lahoti, Hon. Secretary, TAAPMA (Telangana and Andhra Plastics Manufacturers' Association), 914,9th Floor, Raghava Ratna Towers, Chirag Ali Lane, Abids, Hyderabad – 500001				
5	Vinod Kumar Agrawal, General Secretary, Telangana Iron And Steel Manufacturers Association (TISMA), Flat No.101, 1st Floor, Satya Sarovar Apt., Ghansi Bazar, Near High Court, Hyderabad-500002 Telangana & T. Sujatha, Dy. CEO, FTCCI (The Federation of Telangana Chambers of Commerce and Industry), Federation House Federation Marg, 11-6-841, Red Hills, Hyderabad 500004, Telangana India, Ph: 91-040-23395515 to 22, Email id:- info@ftcci.in				
6	M. Venugopala Rao, Senior Journalist & Convener, Centre for Power Studies H.No.1-100/MP/101, Monarch Prestige, Journalists' Colony, Serilingampally Mandal, Hyderabad – 500 032				
7	Sreekumar Nhalur, Prayas (Energy Group), Unit III, Devgiri, Joshi Museum Lane, Kothrud Industrial Area, Kothrud, Pune - 411 038, India, Phone: +91-20- 2542 0720, Fax: 2543 9134; Website: www.prayaspune.org/peg	45-48			

1.Gopinath Injeti, CEO, SICMA (South Indian Cement Manufacturers' Association), 3rd Floor, 36th square, Plot No. 481, Road No. 36, Jubilee Hills, Hyderabad – 500034, Telangana

- 2. T. Sujatha, Dy. CEO, FTCCI (The Federation of Telangana Chambers of Commerce and Industry), Hyderabad
- 3. R K Agarwal, Chairman, Telangana Spinning and Textile Mills Association, Secuderabad.
- 4. Arun Lahoti, Hon. Secretary, TAAPMA (Telangana and Andhra Plastics Manufacturers' Association)

S.No	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	Inadequate data /details /documentary evidences provided: It is to be noted that the TS Discoms have filed the True-up Petitions for their Distribution Business for FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21. The said submissions of both the Discoms are inadequate in the terms of details/data/justification/documentary evidence provided for the true-up claims made by TSSPDCL and TSNPDCL The Objector has already addressed the same issue before Hon'ble TSERC via its Letter dated 29th August, 2022. However, the Objector has neither received any replies nor the requested information from the TS Discoms.	
1.2	 To reiterate what is mentioned in the letter, the Objector is pointing out the detailed data and documentary evidence supporting such data which are not furnished by the Petitioners in the instant Petitions: Formula-linked workable excel model for True-up Petitions filed by TSNPDCL and TSSPDCL for FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21. Mapping of each Financial Certificate with the associated work and cost (along with soft copies of work and cost details) for each year from FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21 for both TSNPDCL and TSSPDCL; Complete set of Audited Reports/Accounts for TSNPDCL and TSSPDCL from FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21; Formula-linked workable excel model of Fixed Asset Register for every year from FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21; 	Licensee submitted work wise details of capitalization along with the Petitions and the additional information sought by the Hon'ble Commission was submitted to the TSERC and the same information requirement as pointed out by the objector was uploaded in Discom website.

- Reconciliation Statements for each year from FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21 of the True-up Amounts for each ARR element claimed by TSNPDCL and TSSPDCL with the Audited Reports/Accounts for TSNPDCL and TSSPDCL from FY 200607 to FY 2020-21; This should also include the break-up between Retail Supply Business and Distribution Business for each cost and revenue element;
- All Actuarial Valuation Reports for TSNPDCL and TSSPDCL from FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21;
- Detailed Report on Wage Revision Impact for TSNPDCL and TSSPDCL from FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21;
- Detailed explanation with supporting documents for increase in Repair and Maintenance Expenses for TSNPDCL and TSSPDCL from FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21;
- Detailed explanation with supporting documents for increase in Administrative and General Expenses for TSNPDCL and TSSPDCL from FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21:
- All Tax Evaluation Reports and Tax Assessment Orders for TSNPDCL and TSSPDCL from FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21;
- All Orders of the Hon'ble TSERC in O.P. No.s 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45 and 46 of 2021 along with I.A. No.s 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 of 2021 and O.P. No. 20 of 2022 and O.P. No. 22 of 2022:
- Pending Petitions/Appeals of the TSSPDCL and/or TSNPDCL (as Appellant/Respondent/Both) in TSERC/High Court/Supreme Court/Any other court that are related to the Electricity Distribution Business of TSSPDCL and/or TSNPDCL;
- 1.3 In the absence of the details and particulars, the prudence check of the

	claims made by the Petitioners cannot be conducted and gainful detailed	-
	objections/comments cannot be framed by the Objector. The Hon'ble	
	Commission is requested to direct the Petitioners to furnish the above	
	data along with comprehensive workable excel model for the same.	
1.4	In the absence of complete information, the Objector has analysed the	-
	True up Petitions on a best effort basis using the limited information	
	available in public domain and preliminary observations/ comments/	
	objections are discussed in detail in subsequent sections of the report.	
1.5	It is prayed that the Hon'ble Commission may permit the Objector to	-
	participate and make additional submission and produce additional	
	details and documentations before and during the course of the Public	
	Hearing, in the interest of justice and equity.	
2	Instant True-Up Exercise is not in Accordance to The Hon'ble	
	Tribunal's Judgement Dated 11.11.2011 in OP No. 1 Of 2011:	
2.1	The Hon'ble Tribunal vide its Judgement dated 11.11.2011 in OP	TS Discoms submit that the Petitions for true up of the 1 st 2 nd and
	No. 1 of 2011 had issued the following directives to the State	3 rd Control periods have been delayed on account of various factors
	Commissions:	which were detailed in the instant Petitions filed by the TS
		Discoms. A brief of the various factors which led to delay of filing
	"(i) Every State Commission has to ensure that Annual Performance	are stated below:
	Review, true- up of past expenses and Annual Revenue Requirement	• The Hon'ble TSERC in O.P. No.79 of 2015 of Distribution
	and tariff determination is conducted year to year basis	tariff order for 3rd control period has directed the TS Discoms
	66. We direct all the State Commissions to follow these directions	to file the true up proposals of Distribution Business for both
	scrupulously, and send the periodical reports by 1st June of the	control periods (i.e., 1st Control Period and 2nd Control Period)
	relevant financial year about the compliance of these directions to the	after segregating the assets and liabilities of Anantapur and
	Secretary, Forum of Regulators, who in turn will send the status	Kurnool districts from APCPDCL and seven mandals of
	report to this Tribunal and also place it on its website."	APNPDCL in line with AP Reorganisation Act, 2014, as per
2.2		prevailing Regulation.
		• In compliance to the directive, the TS Discoms had filed the
		True-ups for 1 st and 2 nd Control Periods along with Filings for
	It is prayed that the Hon'ble Commission may take cognizance of the	ARR and FPT for FY 2016-17.
	aforementioned directives of the Hon'ble Tribunal made vide its	• The Hon'ble Commission in the Tariff Order 2016-17 taken
	Judgement dated 11.11.2011 in OP No. 1 of 2011.	cognizance of the TS Discoms filings (Para No. 5.96 of the TO

- 2016-17) on true up and a directive was issued to file the true up of Distribution business for the first two Control Periods and for FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 in order to issue necessary directions to improve the performance of TS Discoms.
- Subsequently, the licensees in their filings for FY 2017-18 have mentioned that "as Government of Telangana (GoTS) is considering signing UDAY scheme this year the Licensee will file true-up for FY15-16 and FY16-17 along with tariff proposal after considering final MoU signed by GoTS and GoI.
- As the clarification regarding equity infusion and fund transfers on account of UDAY has been received only in FY 2017-18, the licensees couldn't ascertain the impact of UDAY on the true-ups of 1stControl Period and have not filed the true up Petitions along with ARR filings for FY 2018-19.
- The Hon'ble TSERC vide its letter dt. 20.11.2018 has directed the TS Discoms to file the true up proposals for the distribution business for earlier Control Periods duly segregating the assets and liabilities relating to the districts of Ananthapur and Kurnool along with seven mandals relating to APNPDCL.
- Consequently, TS Discoms have made submissions regarding the true-up claims for 1st, 2nd and 3rd Control Periods along with ARR filings for Distribution business for the 4thcontrol period (FY 2019-2024).
- The Hon'ble Commission in its Tariff Order dt. 29.04.2020, has directed the DISCOMs to submit their true-up claims along with complete details regarding the capitalisation claimed for each year of the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Control Periods in the Petitions to be filed for Annual Performance Review for FY 2019-20 before 31.12.2020. (Directive No. 3).
- Interlocutory applications to condone the delay in filing the true up Petition have been submitted along with the Petitions.
- In line with the Hon'ble TSERC's directive in Tariff Order

		29.04.2020, TS Discoms are filing the instant Petitionsand request the Hon'ble Commission to accept the claims made by the TS Discoms.
3	Non-compliance to TSERC'S Tariff Regulations, 2005 and its subsequent amendments	
3.1	The Tariff Regulations, 2005 and its subsequent Amendments stipulate the following:	During the 1 st , 2 nd and 3 rd Control Periods, TS Discoms have submitted the Resource Plan including Investment Plan of the licensees along with the filing of ARR before the Hon'ble Commission and same were placed before the stakeholders for inviting approach and same were placed before the stakeholders for
	Thus, as per the above Regulations, it is evident that the Hon'ble Commission may provide corrections in the ARR of the Distribution Licensee for subsequent years of the Control Period to the extent of deviation from the investments approved as part of the Capital Investment Plan such that the TS Discoms shall have to: • Seek approval for individual schemes in the Capital Investment Plan at least 90 days before undertaking the investment in	inviting suggestions/comments. Further, the Hon'ble Commission has conducted public hearings on the above ARR filings of respective Control Periods. After considering the views/suggestions/comments of the stakeholders and prudence check, the Investment Plan of the respective licensees for each year of the Control Period was approved by the Hon'ble Commission in the respective MYT Orders.
	 accordance with the Guidelines on Investment Approval The individual schemes/ projects submitted by the Distribution Licensee for Commission's approval must provide complete details including those relating to the cost and capitalisation for 	ready reference:

each year of the Control Period

schemes/projects by, new scheme/project (s)

Hon'ble Commission.

Justify the deviations beyond 1.0 percent for each individual

scheme/project and any other material deviations from the Capital Investment Plan including introduction of; or substitution of existing

From the instant Petitions, it is apparent that the Petitioners have not complied with the above stipulations as per the Tariff Regulations of the

It prayed that the Hon'ble Commission may direct the Petitioners to

TSNPDCL

(Amount in Rs. Crore)

D C I	1 st Control Period		2 nd Control Period		^{3nd} Control Period	
Particular	Filing	ERC	Filing	ERC	Filing	ERC
Investment	1042	663	3282	2068	6989	5349

From the above, it is evident that the Investment Plan was approved by the Hon'ble Commission for each Control Period only after

	submit a point-by- point compliance report of the above along with all	detailed analysis and consideration of views of stakeholders.
	of the necessary supporting documents and evidences and the same may	
	be made available on the public domain so that the Objector may submit	
	its objections/comments on the same.	
	If the Petitioners fail to prove absolute compliance to the Hon'ble	
	Commission's Tariff Regulations, it prayed that the Hon'ble	
	Commission may reject the instant Petitions in limine.	
4	Non-Compliance To The Hon'ble Tserc's Guidelines For Investment	
	Approval (February 2006)	
	As can be observed from the Guidelines for Investment Approval	The Investment Plans for each year of Control Period (1st, 2nd and
	mandate the TS Discoms to:	3 rd) of the licensees were approved by the Hon'ble Commission
	Obtain prior approval of the Commission for any investment	duly after conducting public hearingsand prudent check.
	above Rs. 500 lakhs (major investment) providing due	
	justifications. (Such that the waiver granted for implementing	TS Discoms submits that the Petitions for true up of the 1 st , 2 nd and
	Schemes below Rs. 500 lakhs relax only the requirement of	3 rd Control periods have been delayed on account of various factors
	obtaining prior Hon'ble Commission approval for the	which were detailed in the instant Petitions filed by the TS
	investment. The Hon'ble Commission still retains the	Discoms.
	• authority to assess the efficiency and economy with which the	
	Licensee makes any investment and to verify that these	After completion of the sanctioned works in line with the
	investments are consistent with the spirit of the Licence and the	conditions laid down in each scheme/ project, the Superintending
	Act, and for this purpose may require the Licensee to furnish	Engineer of the concerned Circle Issues Work Completion
	details of any such scheme, from time to time.)	Certificate, after thorough verification. This procedure ensures
	• Submit the PCC and FCC certificates (On completion of a	proper completion of the works, proper verification by Senior
	scheme or a usable module of the scheme) to the effect that the	Engineers and proper capitalization of the assets.
	assets created have been duly entered in the Fixed Assets	
	Register by transfer from the CWIP register to OCFA to the	TSNPDCL has successfully completed IPDS, DDUGJY &

work/module/scheme, at the latest. (Such that the Hon'ble Commission or its authorized representative shall have the right

Undertake a post-completion review of the Scheme to assess

to verify the correctness of the PCC and FCC.)

appreciation from the Ministry of Power, Government of India.

investment along with variations are tabulated below:

The Control period wise approved investment and actual

	whether the objective of the investment is met or not and					
	whether or not the desired benefits are accruing from the Scheme		Investment (in Crs)	Approved	Actual	Variation
	and submit a report to the Commission after twelve months of its		1 st Control Period	663	758	94
	completion.		2 nd Control Period	2068	1542	-526
4.3	It is observed that the Petitioners have flouted the 60 days limit for		3 rd Control period	5349	3663	-1686
	submission of PCC and FCC certificates. Moreover, in the instant		FY 2019-20	1238	633	-605
	Petition, Petitioners have not provided the mapping of each PCC and		FY 2020-21	1279	470	-809
	FCC with the associated work and cost (along with soft copies of work		TOTAL	10597	7066	-3531
	and cost details) for each year from FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21 for both			•	l	
	TSNPDCL and TSSPDCL. Nor have the Petitioners submitted their	On	account of complet	ion of above	e works, T	SNPDCL could
4.4	Fixed Asset Registers for every year from FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21.		nieve the following:			
4.4	It is prayed that the Hon'ble Commission may take cognizance of the above provisions of the Guidelines for Investment Approval and direct		No. of Consumers	s served were	increased by	y 30,60,506 Nos.
	the Petitioners to submit the necessary reports/documents and evidences		from FY 2005-06			
	and the same may be made available on the public domain so that the		> The sales were in			
	Objector may submit its objections/comments on the same.		18642MU (FY 2			
4.5	Furthermore, it is prayed that the Hon'ble Commission may take due action providing due reasoning in accordance to the following provisions of the Guidelines for Investment Approval:			nount of 10.29% in FY2005-06 of power supply ing Agricultural Y 2006-07 to FY		
5	Non-Compliance To The Hon'ble TSERC'S Directives As Per TSERC Order Dated 29.04.2020					
5.1	As per the Distribution order dated 29.04.2020 of TS Discoms pertaining to 4th Control Period (FY2019-20 to FY 2023-24) issued by		NPDCL has complied			
	the Hon'ble TSERC, the Hon'ble Commission had directed the TS Discoms as follows:		ommission in the Distr 4 th Control Period.			

"1. Neutral Wire-HVDC areas

The Commission directs the DISCOMs to run neutral wire from 33/11 kV SS to all single-phase transformers both existing and new installations without resorting to use of earth as return conductor. Further, the DISCOMs are directed to strictly

implement earthing practices as per 61(1)(a), 67(1A) and 92 of IE Rules, 1956 and provide three earth pits as per the prescribed construction standards. The DISCOMs shall submit half yearly reports by 31st October and 30th April for the periods ending 30th September and 31st March respectively.

2. True-up for 1st, 2nd and 3rd Control Periods

The Commission directs the DISCOMs to submit their true-up claims along complete details sought regarding the capitalization claimed for each year of the 1 st, 2nd and 3rd Control Periods in the Petitions to be filed for Annual Performance Review for FY 2019-20. The DISCOMs are also directed to submit the requisite supporting documents such as Physical Completion Certificates (PCCs), Financial Completion Certificates (FCCs) etc. as mandated in the investment approval guidelines.

The Commission directs the DISCOMs to make a detailed submission regarding the differential treatment of GoTS under the UDAY scheme and likely consequences of the same in the Petitions to be filed for Annual Performance Review for FY 2019-20. The Commission directs the DISCOMs to submit the details of long-term loans viz., loans availed for capital expenditure, taken over by GoTS under UDAY scheme in the Petitions to be filed for Annual Performance Review for FY 2019-20.

3. Computation of depreciation in accordance with CERC (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2019

The Commission directs the DISCOMs to submit the computations of

below:

Directive No.1: Neutral Wire-HVDC areas implementation report submitted to Hon'ble Commission Vide Lr. No. D.No.224/20, Dtd: 05.01.2021 as follows:

AGRICULTURE:

The work of conversion from Single Phase Agriculture Distribution transformer to 3-phase Agriculture Distribution transformer was done in the identified locations in the TSNPDCL area duly erecting 3-phase DTRs and also carried out laying of additional two wires to form 11 kV line and LT network. Apart from the above, new agriculture services were also released for the prospective consumers.

ABSTRACT OF CONVERSION PROGRESS AS ON DECEMBER-2020

Conversion of single phase Agricultural DTRs to 3-Phase DTRs

DISCO M	6.3 KV to 11 KV Line (Km)	25/16 KVA DTRs (No.s)	LT Line with AB Cable (km)	Conversion of existing LT 2 W/L to 3 W/L by stringing 3rd wire(km)
NPDCL	527.62	2132	19.63	474.32

depreciation for each year of 4th Control Period in accordance with the provisions of the CERC Tariff Regulations, 2019 in Annual Performance Review for each year of 4th Control Period.

4. Capital Investments

The DISCOMs shall seek approval for individual schemes at least 90 days undertaking the investment in accordance with the Guidelines for Investment Approval. The individual schemes/ projects submitted by the DISCOMs for Commission's approval must provide complete details including those relating to the cost and capitalisation for each year of 4th Control Period.

Considering the importance of capitalisation of works, the Commission lays down the following requirements to be fulfilled before accepting inclusion of the value of capitalised work in the Original Cost of Fixed Assets (OCFA):

- a. On completion of a capital work, a physical completion certificate (PCC) to the effect that the work has been fully executed, physically, and the assets created are put in use, to be issued by the concerned engineer not below the rank of Superintendent Engineer.
- b. The PCC shall be accompanied or followed by a financial completion certificate (FCC) to the effect that the assets created have been duly entered in the fixed assets register by transfer from the Capital Works in Progress (CWIP) register to OCFA. The FCC shall have to be issued by the concerned finance officer not below the

rank of Senior Accounts Officer.

c. The above mentioned certificates have to be submitted to the Commission within 60 days of completion of work, at the latest. The Commission may also inspect or arrange to inspect, at random, a few of the capitalised works included in the OCFA to confirm that the assets created are actually being used and are useful for the business."

DOMESTIC

In TSNPDCL area, the supply to village headquarter substations has been extended through 3-phase DTRs in some areas and for the remaining villages, the supply was extended through Single phase DTRs with the following feature:

- a) Earthing is being done with 2 Nos. electrodes of 2 Mtr. Long 50 mm dia, CI pipe, 25x3 mm GI flat (towns) and No.8 GI wire (rural areas).
- b) Separate earthing is being provided for HV & LV side of distribution transformers.
- c) Inter connection of both earth pits is made with GI flat.

Since the hamlets are spread over at distant places, running the neutral wire from 33/11 kV substation is very expensive. Further, earthing of neutral at intermediate locations, needs additional expenditure.

Hence, it has been decided to provide local ground earthing by maintaining the earth resistance as per REC construction standards.

Directive No.2,3&5: filing of Annual Performance Review for 4th Control Period, True-up for 1st,2nd& 3rd Control periods & Capital Investments:

Incompliance to the above, the licensee has filed the Petitions for True-up for 1st,2nd& 3rd Control Periods and Annual Performance Review for FY 2019-20 along with an IA for condonation of delay

		in filing the Petitions before the Hon'ble Commission.
		Further, the Physical Completion Certificates (PCCs) & Financial Completion Certificates (FCCs) of the capitalised works details from FY 2010-11 to FY 2019-20 have been submitted along with the instant Petitions.
		Directive No.4: Computation of depreciation in accordance with CERC (Terms and Conditions of Tariff) Regulations, 2019:
		In compliance to the above, the depreciation is calculated in accordance with the provisions of the CERC Tariff Regulations, 2019. TSNPDCL has followed CERC depreciation rates for computation of the depreciation from FY 2020-21 onwards i.e., 2nd year of the 4th Control Period in its annual reports and claimed the depreciation as per CERC rates in APR Petition for FY 2020-21.
		In view of the above the Hon'ble Commission is earnestly requested to allow true-up claims of depreciation for FY 2019-20 with MoP depreciation rates.
5.2	It is apparent from the instant Petitions of the TS Discoms that the TS Discoms have not complied with the directives of the Hon'ble Commission's Distribution order dated 29.04.2020.	
5.3	It prayed that the Hon'ble Commission may direct the Petitioners to submit a point-by- point compliance report of the aforementioned directives along with all of the necessary supporting documents and evidences and the same may be made available on the public domain so that the Objector may submit its objections/comments on the same.	Licensee has responded to these objections as part of the above reply.
5.4	If the Petitioners fail to prove absolute compliance to the Hon'ble Commission's directives in the aforementioned Distribution order dated 29.04.2020, it prayed that the Hon'ble Commission may reject the	

	instant Petitions in limine.	
6	UDAY MOU Signed Between Ministry Of Power, Govt. Of Telangana And TS DISCOMS	
6.1	 In the instant Petitions, the Discoms have submitted as follows: As per the Terms of the UDAY MoU, the Govt. of Telangana had committed to: Takeover 75% of the debt of the Telangana DISCOMs as on 30th September, 2015 by 31-03-2017 The Borrowings made by the state to takeover DISCOMs debt during 2016-17 would be transferred to the Discoms as a mix of grant, loan or equity. To issue non-SLR bonds to raise funds for providing grant to the DISCOMs. Provide Operational Funding Requirement (OFR) support to the 	TSNPDCL: As per the UDAY Scheme the total debts of TSNPDCL to be taken over by Government of Telangana was Rs.3,379.08 Crores (being 75% of total outstanding loans as on 30th September 2015 of TSNPDCL) in the form of 50% as Grant, 25% in the form of Equity and Bonds 25%. The Government of Telangana has taken over Rs. 3,379.08 Crores debt of TSNPDCL under UDAY Scheme by infusion of Equity amounting Rs.2396.17 Crore in 2016-17, Rs.450 Crore in 2017-18 and Rs.532.91 Crore in 2018-19. Entire amount of Rs.3379.08 Crore was released as Equity as per orders of State Government. Therefore, the same shall be treated as equity infusion by the State Government.
	 DISCOMs, till the DISCOMs achieves turnaround. Guarantee repayment of principal and interest payment for the balance debt remaining with DISCOMs / bonds issued by DISCOMs. Guarantee the bonds issued by DISCOMs or issue bonds itself to meet current losses after 1 st October 2015, if any, within the limit of loss trajectory finalised by MoP. 	As regards to treatment of 25% of debt remaining as on 30th September 2015, due to non-availability of Government Guarantee the same was retained by the TS Discoms. Government of Telangana, as per the Tripartite MoU signed under UDAY scheme, has taken over 5% losses incurred in FY 2016-17 in FY 2017-18. Further the Government of Telangana has issued
6.2	As per the UDAY MoU signed between Ministry of Power, Govt. of Telangana and the TS Discoms, the Govt. of Telangana had committed to take the following measures:	the GO No.15, Dated. 18.06.2022 towards the takeover of Losses of 2017-18 to 2020-21 as per the clauses under the UDAY scheme for an amount of Rs. 8,925.00 Crore for TSDISCOMs, out of which TSNPDCL share is of Rs. 2,697 Crore (i.e., 156.00 Crore
6.3	As can be observed, as per the Terms of the UDAY MoU, the Govt. of Telangana had committed to: • Takeover 75% of the debt of the Telangana DISCOMs as on 30 th September, 2015 by 3103-2017	towards 10% loss of 2017-18, Rs. 763 Crore towards 25% loss of 2018-19, Rs. 558 Crore towards 50% loss of 2019-20 and Rs. 1,220 Crore towards 50% loss of 2020-21). The same is recognized during FY 2021-22 under Revenue from Operations as the funds

	 The Borrowings made by the state to takeover DISCOMs debt during 2016-17 would be transferred to the Discoms as a mix of grant, loan or equity. To issue non-SLR bonds to raise funds for providing grant to the DISCOMs. Provide Operational Funding Requirement (OFR) support to the DISCOMs, till the DISCOMs achieves turnaround. Guarantee repayment of principal and interest payment for the balance debt remaining with DISCOMs / bonds issued by DISCOMs. Guarantee the bonds issued by DISCOMs or issue bonds itself to meet current losses after 1st October 2015, if any, within the limit of loss trajectory finalised by MoP. 	received from GoTS in the FY 2022-23 before closure of Financials for 2021-22. TSNPDCL and Government of Telangana have fully complied with all terms and conditions of the UDAY scheme. Even after loss takeover the TSNPDCL has accumulated losses which are not covered under UDAY.
6.4	As per the UDAY MoU signed between Ministry of Power, Govt. of	
	Telangana and the TS Discoms, the TS Discoms had committed to take	
	the following measures:	
6.5	It is prayed that the Hon'ble Commission may ensure that the	
	borrowings made by the state to takeover DISCOMs debt during 2016-	
	17 would be transferred to the Discoms as a mix of grant, loan or equity	
	are strictly in accordance with the Terms of the UDAY MoU and that	
	the other commitments of Govt. of Telangana and the TS Discoms are	
	being strictly complied with.	
6.6	Wherever there is non-compliance of the Terms of the UDAY MoU, it is prayed that the Hon'ble TSERC may take note of the same in its Order	
	and disallow any claims made by the Petitioners which are in violation	
	of the Terms of the UDAY MoU in the instant Petition.	
7	O&M EXPENSES	
7.1	The TSSPDCL and TSNPDCL Discoms have claimed a true up of Rs.	Licensee in the following paras has replied to the specific
	2,555.61 Crores & Rs. 1,403.56 Crores respectively towards the	objections in the matter of claim of O&M Expenses.

A	variation in the O&M Expenses for the Period from FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21. The TSSPDCL and TSNPDCL have stated the actual O&M expenses to the tune of Rs. 20,299.95 Crores & Rs. 12,037.99 Crores respectively against the approved value of Rs. 17,744.34 & 10,634.43. The Objections in respect of the variation in O&M expenses claimed by the Licensee are provided below: Truing up of O&M expenses is not allowable as it is a Controllable Expense	
7.2	As per clause 10.4 of the Tariff Regulations, the O&M expenses are 'Controllable' expenses and the Hon'ble Commission in its latest Tariff Order dated 29.04.2020 and past orders had allowed the same on normative basis. In view of the provisions of the APERC Tariff Regulations, 2005, the variation in respect of 'Controllable' expenses are not allowable. The Relevant clauses (10.5 to 10.8) of the APERC Tariff Regulations is interpreted below: Above stated clauses 10.5-10.8 of the defined regulation clearly depicts a picture that only force majeure items are allowed for pass through over and above the normative values, subjected to Commission prudence	Licensee submit that they have complied with the provisions of Regulation No. 4 of 2005 and have provided the justifications for variations between the approved and actual claimed expenses in the instant Petitions. Further Licensee will submit the required information as sought by the Hon'ble Commission during the proceedings. It is to be noted that Regulation 10.8 states that variation in O&M expenses on account of factors beyond the control of petitioner will be allowed. TS Discoms submit that the variations between the approved and actual claimed were due to the factors which are not under the control of TS Discoms and they were required to incur
7.4	Contrary to this, the Petitioners have claimed the entire variation in O&M expenses without appreciating that Reg.10.8 provides that only the gains and losses on account of factors which are beyond the control of the Petitioner – force majeure – are to be allowed.	such expenditure in order to deliver the service as per terms and conditions agreed while granting license to the Discoms by the Hon'ble Commission and also as per the tripartite agreement entered by the Discoms during unbundling of State Electricity Board. For instance, the approved O&M Cost in the Control Periods are arrived without factoring the wage revision impact, and the major contributor for variation in O&M Cost is due to wage revision which is an uncontrollable factor and beyond the control of the Distribution Licensee as agreed under tripartite agreement entered by the Discoms during unbundling of State Electricity Board.

7.5	Basically, the Operation and Maintenance Expenses consist of three elements:	-
	A. Employee Cost Expenses	
	B. Repair and Maintenance Expenses	
	C. Administrative and General Expenses	
7.6	As Discoms are regulated entities, the Hon'ble Commission has set out the allowable norms for these three components in the relevant tariff orders which are to be strictly adhered. However, both the Discoms have deviated from the approved norms. The major reasons stated in instant Petitions against the deviation are as below: O Wage Revision O Regularization of outsourcing employees O Actuarial Valuation Report O Leave Encashment O DA hike and new recruitment O Increase in Repairs and Maintenance cost O Increase in travelling and vehicle hire expenses	It is to be noted that Regulation 10.8 states that variation in O&M expenses on account of factors beyond the control of petitioner will be allowed. TS Discoms submit that the variations between the approved and actual claimed were due to the factors which are not under the control of TS Discoms and they were required to incur such expenditure in order to deliver the service as per terms and conditions agreed while granting license to the Discoms by the Hon'ble Commission and also as per the tripartite agreement entered by the Discoms during unbundling of State Electricity Board. It is further to be noted that the claimed variation in some of the factors is on account of the fact that such factors were not considered by the Hon'ble Commission while fixing the O&M expenses target for the Distribution Business in the MYT Orders. Since the same have not been considered while arriving the approved norms in the tariff Orders, Licensee requests the Hon'ble Commission to consider the actual expenses incurred against these factors and approve the same.
7.7	It is reiterated that the Hon'ble Commission may direct the Petitioners to submit the following details, without which prudence check exercise of Wage Revision, Actuarial Valuation Report, Leave Encashment, Increase in Repairs and Maintenance cost, Increase in travelling and vehicle hire expenses, would be hampered:	The information as sought by the Hon'ble Commission has been submitted by the Licensee and are also available in the website. Licensee requests the Hon'ble Commission to consider the responses to the additional information submitted for approving the claims made by the Licensee.

		,
	 All Actuarial Valuation Reports for TSNPDCL and TSSPDCL 	
	from FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21;	
	 Detailed Report on Wage Revision Impact for TSNPDCL and 	
	TSSPDCL from FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21;	
	• Detailed explanation with supporting documents for increase	
	in Repair and Maintenance Expenses for TSNPDCL and	
	TSSPDCL from FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21;	
	• Detailed explanation with supporting documents for increase	
	in Administrative and General Expenses for TSNPDCL and	
	TSSPDCL from FY 2006-07 to FY 2020-21;	
В	Enabling Provision for O&M expenses computation as per APERC	
	Regulation 2005:	
7.8	Clause 14 of the APERC Tariff Regulations, 2005 stipulate the	-
	following pertaining to Operation and Maintenance Expenses:	
C	O&M norms defined in the MYT order dt. 27.03.2015 & 29.04.2020	
7.9		Licensee appreciates the intention and efforts put in by the objector
		behind the analysis undertaken for the computation of O&M
		expenses. However, Licensee observed computational errors in the
	Notwithstanding the previous points, it is submitted that the Hon'ble	computation provided by the objector. The Employee and A&G
	Commission vide its Order dt. 27.03.2015 has defined the O&M norms	expenses arrived by objector and Licensee are different i.e., for the period from FY 2015-16 to FY 2020-21 the employee and A&G
	for FY 2015-16 to FY 2018-19 as follows:	expenses of TSNPDCL as per objector amounts to Rs. 4105.60
		Crore which is not correct and having clerical error.
7.10	In the same manner, the Hon'ble Commission has also approved the	
	O&M norms for FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21 as follows vide its Order	Licensee feels that computations were intended only towards the
	dt. 29.04.2020:	reduction of the claim of Licensee. Licensee has incurred O&M
7.11	The Objector has computed the allowable True-up for the TSSPDCL	cost more than the normative norms on account of un-controllable
/.11	and TSNPDCL in accordance to the above norms defined by the	factor i.e., Wage revision which is beyond the control of Licensee.
	and 1514 Del in accordance to the above norms defined by the	

	Hon'ble Commission and the actual Substations, Line Length, DTR, Consumer and GFA data as available in the Audited Accounts of the Petitioners:	In view of the above, Licensee requests the Hon'ble Commission to consider the claim of O&M Expenses as claimed in the instant Petitions.
7.13	It is prayed that the Hon'ble Commission may limit the O&M expenses to the approved value for the period 2006-2015 and may allow the O&M expenses (based on norms approved by the Hon'ble TSERC) as per Objector's Assessment for the period 2016-21.	Licensee requests the Hon'ble Commission to approve the O&M expenses as claimed in the instant Petitions.
8	Depreciation	
8.1	It has been observed that the Distribution Licensees have computed depreciation in the instant Petition using the depreciation rates notified by Ministry of Power (MoP), GOI and incorporated the same into the RRB and expenditure calculations. As per the enabling provision listed in APERC Tariff Regulations, 2005, depreciation ought to be computed as per the defined CERC rates. This is affirmed by the Hon'ble Commission in Clause 15 of its Tariff Regulations:	In the distribution filing of 1 st Control Period, the licensees had claimed depreciation at the rates specified by Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (CERC) plus Advance Against Depreciation (AAD). In the para 252 of Tariff Order issued by the erstwhile APERC, the Hon'ble Commission has decided to allow the DISCOMS to claim depreciation at the MoP rates and disallow the AAD. Further it is to submit that, the Hon'ble Commission allowed depreciation with MoP rates till the 3rd control period and issued MYT Orders accordingly. In the 4 th Control Period even though the Discoms have claimed
		depreciation as per MoP rates, the Hon'ble Commission has
8.3	Additionally, the Hon'ble Commission's views in this regard as per Distribution Order dated 29.04.2020 are reproduced below:	adopted CERC depreciation rates for approving depreciation for 4 th Control Period.
	•••	TSNPDCL has followed CERC depreciation rates for computation
8.4	Thus, it is evident from the Tariff Regulations and the above- mentioned Hon'ble Commission's view that Depreciation is to be computed as per the rates specified by CERC from time to time.	of the depreciation from FY 2020-21 onwards i.e., 2nd year of the 4th Control Period in its annual reports and claimed the depreciation as per CERC rates in APR Petition for FY 2020-21.
8.5	Therefore, it is prayed that the Hon'ble Commission may direct the	In view of the above the Hon'ble Commission is earnestly

	petitioner to revise its Depreciation claims in accordance to the Regulation 15 of the APERC Tariff Regulations 2005, and	requested to allow true-up claims of depreciation up to FY 2019-20 with MoP depreciation rates.
	subsequently allow Depreciation after due prudence check.	
9	Return On Capital Employed	
9.1	The TSSPDCL and TSNPDCL discoms have claimed a true up of Rs. 185.99 Crores and Rs.525.01 Crores respectively towards the variation	-
	in the Return on Capital Employed (RoCE) for the Period FY 2007 to FY 2021. The TSSPDCL and TSNPDCL has stated that the actual	
	RoCE is to the tune of Rs. 5,080.21 Crores and Rs. 2,524.27 Crores respectively. The Objections in respect of the variation in RoCE claimed by the Licensee are provided below:	
A	Enabling provision for RoCE computation in the APERC Tariff Regulations, 2005:	-
В	RoCE Computation Methodology adopted as per the MYT Order dated 27.03.2015:	TS Discoms submit that they have claimed the RoCE in the instant Petitions in line with Regulation 4 of 2005 and in line with the
9.2	The relevant extract of the MYT Order is reproduced below:	methodology adopted in the MYT Order dated 27.03.2015. Accordingly, TS Discoms request the Hon'ble Commission to approve the RoCE claimed by the TS Discoms.

10.2	Non -Tariff income means the income relating to the licensed business other than from tariffs for wheeling and retail sale, excluding any	Further, in the case of Control period, no Non-	TSNPDCL, it is to	
10.1	The TSSPDCL and TSNPDCL discoms have claimed the non-tariff income to the tune of Rs. 2,649.18 Crores and Rs. 572.93 Crores against the approved amount of Rs. 2.714.97 Crores and Rs.993.56 Crores for the period of 2006-21 pertaining to distribution business	It is to submit that the Distribution Business at the Non-Tariff income businesses based on the	nd Retail Supply B of the Licensee has	usiness. In this regard,
10	Non tariff income			
9.4	It is prayed that the Hon'ble Commission may allow the ROCE as per Objector's Assessment for the period 2016-21, subjected to prudence check.			
		From the above it is evid ROCE as per methodolo		
		FY 2020-21	268	695
		FY 2019-20	267	627
		3 rd Control Period	1030	1954
		2 nd Control Period	708	1409
		1 st Control Period	251	264
	respective control Period as follows:	Period	ROCE claimed in petition (Rs. in Crs)	Finance Cost as per Annual Reports (Rs. in Crs)
9.3	In line with the Clause 15 of the APERC Tariff Regulations and the RoCE Computation Methodology adopted as per the MYT Order dated 27.03.2015, the Objector has computed the RoCE allowable to TSSPDCL and TSNPDCL based on the Audited Accounts for the	The claim of RoCE by actual RoCE recorded in period from 1stControl 1 ready reference:	n Annual Reports of	of the Company for the

	income from Other Business and inc	E	for the Distribution Business by the Hon'ble Commission while issuing MYT Order. However, in the subsequent Control Periods,
	Adjustment, Cross-subsidy Surcharg	ge and Additional Surcharge.	the total Non-Tariff income of the Licensee was segregated in to
10.3	The Hon'ble Commission in its tariff as a controllable factor. The regulations is reproduced below:	_	two businesses. As the instant Petitions are in respect of Distribution Business only, the licensee has claimed to the extent of Non-Tariff income of Distribution Business only in present petition.
	Distribution	on Business	
	ARR Item	"Controllable"/ "Uncontrollable"	TS Discoms submit that the non-tariff income as assessed by the
	Operation & Maintenance expenses	Controllable	objector does not provide for breakup of the individual items which
	Return on Capital Employed	Controllable	were considered for distribution business out of the total individual
	Depreciation	Controllable	items recorded in the respective schedule of annual audited
	Taxes on Income	Uncontrollable	accounts. Whereas, TSNPDCL has provided the detailed breakup of Non-
	Non-tariff income	Controllable	Tariff income between Distribution Business and Retail Supply
10.4	It has been observed that the Non-T Licensees is booked to the tune of F	Rs. 4,370.15 Crores and Rs. 1,280.9	5
	Crores for TSSPDCL and TSNPI 2006-21.		tariff income as claimed by the TSNPDCL.
10.5	A simple comparison between the tariff income booked in Audited understatement in non-tariff income	Accounts indicates that there is a	
10.6	The Hon'ble Commission is required income as per audited accounts as reduce the same from the claimed tr	assessed by the Objector and ma	
11	Other expenditure		

11.1	TSSPDCL and TSNPDCL have claimed Other Expenditure to the tune of Rs. 124.66 Crores and Rs. 28.82 Crores respectively against approved value of Rs. 7.13 Crores and 30.56 Crores respectively for the period from FY 2006-07 to 2020-21. From the details submitted by TSSPDCL against other expenditure claim.	TSNPDCL, as part of reply to the additional information requirement, has provided the information regarding the other expenditure as sought by the Hon'ble Commission and also is placed in the website. The components of other expenditure are as
11.2	It has been observed that in the case of TSSPDCL, the Increase in Other Expenditure is mainly due to Compensation provided for Injuries, Death and Damages. While there is no rationale/backing provided in the instant Petition for TSNPDCL's other expenditure claim. The Objector's Assessment against such claims is as follows:	follows: 1. Compensations 2. Materials / Scrap / Assests Loss etc 3. Prior Period Expenses TSNPDCL requests the Hon'ble Commission to approve the claims
11.3	In light of the same, the Objector requests that the Hon'ble Commission may outright disallow the true-up claim of TSSPDCL and TSNPDCL towards Other Expenditure and further direct the TSNPDCL to submit the details of its Other Expenditure Claim.	against other expenditure as claimed in the instant Petitions.
12	Allowable true-up as per objector's assessment	
12.1	Notwithstanding the prayers at sections no. 2 to 5 of these Objections, the Allowable True-up of the Distribution Business of the TS DISCOMS as per Objector's Assessment is as follows:	
12.2	Notwithstanding the prayers at sections no. 2 to 5 of these Objections, it is prayed that the Hon'ble Commission may approve a true down of Rs. 6515.85 Crores for TSSPDCL and Rs. 4917.71 Crores for TSNPDCL Crores as assessed by the Objector against true up claim of Petitioner which is Rs. 3259 Crores for TSSPDCL and Rs. 833.54 Crores for TSNPDCL.	TSNPDCL has responded to the item-wise assessments as proposed by the objector in the abovementioned sections. TSNPDCL would request the Hon'ble Commission to consider the true up claims as submitted the Discom considering the justifications shared on the same.

Prayers

13

Wherefore, the Objector most respectfully prays that this Hon'ble Commission may be pleased to:

A. Consider the above Objection Statement filed by the Objector;

- B. Declare that the instant Petitions filed by the Petitioners are opposed to and ultra vires the Andhra Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Tariff for Wheeling and Retail Sale of Electricity) Regulations, 2005 and the Hon'ble TSERC's Guidelines for Investment Approval (February 2006) and Hon'ble TSERC's directives as per TSERC Order dated 29.04.2020, and reject the same in limine;
- C. Direct the Petitioners to furnish the data requested by the Objector as per its Letter attached herewith as Appendix-A, along with comprehensive workable excel model for the same;
- D. Notwithstanding Prayer B, consider the following Prayers of the Objector:
- E. Ensure that the borrowings made by the state to takeover DISCOMs debt during 2016-17 would be transferred to the Discoms as a mix of grant, loan or equity are strictly in accordance with the Terms of the UDAY MoU and that the other commitments of Govt. of Telangana and the TS Discoms are being strictly complied with; Wherever there is non-compliance of the Terms of the UDAY MoU, it is prayed that the Hon'ble TSERC may take note of the same in its Order and disallow any claims made by the Petitioners which are in violation of

the Terms of the UDAY MoU in the instant Petition.

- F. Limit the O&M expenses to the approved value for the period 2006-2015 and may allow the O&M expenses (based on norms approved by the Hon'ble TSERC) as per Objector's Assessment for the period 2016-21.
- G. Direct the petitioner to revise its Depreciation claims in accordance to the Regulation 15 of the APERC Tariff Regulations 2005, and subsequently allow Depreciation after due prudence check.
- H. Allow the ROCE as per Objector's Assessment for the period 2016-21, subjected to prudence check.
- I. Allow the Non-tariff income as per audited accounts as assessed by the Objector and may reduce the same from the claimed true up /ARR claim.
- J. Disallow the truing up of other expenses as such claims are extraneous to the Tariff Regulations;
- K. Approve a true down of Rs. 6515.85 Crores for TSSPDCL and Rs. 4917.71 Crores for TSNPDCL Crores as assessed by the Objector;
- L. Pass necessary orders as may be deemed appropriate in the facts and circumstances of the case in the interest of justice
- M. Permit the Objector to participate and make additional submission and produce additional details and documentations before and during the course of the Public Hearing, in the interest of justice and equity.

2. T. St	ujatha, Dy. CEO, FTCCI (The Federation of Telangana Chambers of C	Commerce and Industry)
5. Vinod Kumar Agrawal, General Secretary, Telangana Iron And Steel Manufacturers Association (TISMA)		ufacturers Association (TISMA)
S.No	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1	The notice issued by way of publication in the newspaper mentions filing of OP. Nos 39 to 42 of 2021 by TSSPDCL and O.P. Nos 43 to 46 of 2021 by TSNPDCL. From the Commission's website it was difficult to find the filings because of the manner in which they were put up. The copies available on the Commission's website do not give the O.P. Nos of each of the petitions and it has not been possible for us to ascertain which OP. No. pertains to which petition. There are also IA Nos 12 to 15 of 2021 filed by TSSPDCL and IA Nos 16 to 19 of 2021 filed by TSNPDCL. There is no such numbers in the documents put up on the web site and it can only be presumed that these are applications to condone delay in filing. In addition, the newspaper notice mentions O.P. 20 of 2022 filed by TSNPDCL and O.P. No. 22 of 2022 filed by TSSPDCL. There is no information available as to what these OPs are and we have not been able to locate these OPs on the Commission's website.	TSNPDCL submits that the copies of the O.P. Nos 43 to 46 of 2021 by TSNPDCL are available in the website and can be accessed from there. IA Nos 16 to 19 of 2021 filed by TSNPDCL for condonation of delay. The O.P 20 of 2022 filed by TSNPDCL related to the APR Petition filed for FY 2020-21.
2	In the circumstances, we proceed on the basis that the OP. Nos 39 to 41 of 2021 and O.P. Nos. 43 to 45 of 2021 are the true up applications filed by the licensees for the 1st to 3rd control periods respectively. These objections are with these matters relating to the True Up for the 1st to 3rd control period. The objections and submissions made herein are with respect to the	
	particular applications filed by TSSPDCL and the same objections may be treated as being applicable also to the applications filed by TSNPDCL mutates mutandis.	
	Insufficiency of time and particulars	
3	The time allowed for making the submissions is far too short	The matter of time allowed to the objectors for filing of objections

	considering thatthe applications relate to 3 control periods relating to 3 tariff orders. The issueshave to be examined in relation to the respective tariff orders. The informationgiven in the applications is scant without compliance with the methodology in the tariff orders and the Regulation. Going into these aspects in detail requires relevant information to be made available by the licensees and also substantial time. The information and time provided is not reasonable or fair. In the circumstances, the submissions made herein may be considered to be preliminary submissions reserving our right to make further submissions in any extended time that may be allowed or at the time of	is under the purview of the Hon'ble Commission. TS Discoms shall abide by the instructions given by the Hon'ble Commission. TS Discoms have submitted the relevant information along with the instant Petitions and also have responded to the additional information request sought by the Hon'ble Commission. TS Discoms shall submit further information as sought by the Hon'ble Commission during the proceedings.
	public hearing.	
	Gross deficiency of information and necessary particulars	
4	The licensees have filed Petitions without the necessary statements and details as required and contemplated by the Regulation. They have not given relevant information with regard to the expenditure vis-à-vis the norms fixed by the tariff order. In the absence of the same, it is not possible for the Objectorto evaluate the claim of the licensee. The Petitions require to be dismissed as being vague, bereft of necessary details and for non-compliance with the requirements of the Regulations.	TS Discoms have submitted the relevant information along with the instant Petitions and also have responded to the additional information request sought by the Hon'ble Commission. TS Discoms shall submit further information as sought by the Hon'ble Commission during the proceedings.
	Scope of the present petitions and consequently the objections	
5	The prayer in the petitions is only for approval of the true ups as per thepetition. There is no proposal for pass through in any manner to the consumers. In the circumstances, the objections now made are only with regard to the true up of the ARRs. The question of whether and how the admitted true-up amount is to be passed through is considered beyond the scope of the present petitions.	 TS Discoms propose the following recovery mechanism for pass through of gains or losses: The gains or losses of the 1st (FY 2006-09), 2nd (FY 2009- 14) and 3rd (FY 2014-19) Control period as a whole claimed by the licensee be passed on to the consumers equally in the balance period of this 4th Control period (FY2019-24) i.e for the years
6	In the event that there is any proposal for pass through to the consumers, the consumers are entitled to specific notice thereof so that appropriate objections may be made.	FY2022-23 and FY 2023-24 by adjusting in the Aggregate Revenue Requirement of the licensees' of the Distribution business approved in the Aggregate Revenue Requirement and Wheeling Tariffs for Distribution Business for 4th Control Period (FY 2019-20 to FY 2023-24)order dated 29.04.2020. • The Annual Performance True-ups/True-downs of FY 2019-20

		(which is being 1st year of the 4th Control period) be considered at the end of the Control period considering the aggregate gains or losses of the 4th control period as a whole in accordance to clause 10.7 of the Regulation 4 of 2005 while the determination of Aggregate Revenue Requirement for the 5th Control Period (FY 2024-29).
	Willful delay in filing the true up petition	
7	In terms of the Regulation, true up for gains and losses arising out of uncontrollable items are to filed along with the ARR of year succeeding the relevant year. In the case of the controllable items, the true up is to be with respect to the control period as a whole, and the relevant application for true up ought to be brought before the Commission immediately after the end of the control period.	
8	It is stated in the petitions that the true up for the 1st and 2nd control periodswas filed for the first time along with the ARR5 & FPT for 2016-17. Nothing isstated as to what happened to those true up applications. The Commissionappears to have directed filing the true ups for first two control periods and FY52014-15 and 2015-16 "so as to issue directions to improve performance of DISCOMs". That appears to been ignored. Eventually the Commission directed filing true ups for the 1st 2nd and 3rd control periods on or before 31.12.2020in the tariff order dated 29.04.2020. That also was not complied with, and thereasons given are nothing but lame excuses.	TS Discoms submit that the delay in filing of the true up and APR Petitions was on account of the genuine reasons which were elaborated in the instant Petitions and the same were also pointed out by the objector. TS Discoms submit that they were constantly pursuing the matter of filing the true up and APR Petitions considering the fact that periodic true ups shall ultimately benefit Discoms and consumers
9	The licensees say that they could not file the true-ups with ARR filings for 2017-18 as they could not ascertain the impact of Uday on the true ups for 2006-07. That was also a mere lame excuse because there is nothing in the presentpetition also which indicates the impact of Uday.	of the State by improving their financial health.
10	The conduct of the licensees therefore has been to willfully evade and avoid the exercise of true ups for reasons best known to themselves and willfully suppressed. In fact the conduct of the licensees has been to even avoid and evade filing ARRs for reasons best known to themselves and willfully suppressed so that even annual tariffs are not determined.	

11	In the circumstances the delays in filing the petition cannot be excused. Evenif the true ups are now carried out for academic and record purposes therecannot be any pass through whatsoever to the consumers with respect to thefirst three control periods. The IAs for condonation of delay deserve to be dismissed, and consequently the OPs themselves are to be dismissed.	
	Impact of Uday Scheme	
12	The true up petitions do not show the impact of the Uday scheme. Thelicensees may be directed to specifically provide details in respect of each ofthe control periods.	In line with the directive of the Hon'ble Commission in the Distribution MYT Order dated 29.04.2020, TSNPDCL has submitted the information related to UDAY scheme and its impact in the APR Petitions for FY 2019-20.
	Scope of the Distribution Business ARR & Scope of True-up	
13	In terms of Clause 3.3 of the Regulation, the ARR determined for the Distribution Business is the basis for the fixation of the wheeling tariff/charges. So much of the Distribution Business ARR as is relevant to the Retail SupplyBusiness of the licensee is to be considered in the ARR for the Retail SupplyBusiness pursuant to Clause 6.4(b) of the Regulation. In a True-up exercise, such as the one purportedly being undertaken presently, the methodology must necessarily be the same as in the Distribution TariffOrder. There cannot be any variation. It is only the	
	actuals, subject toprudence check, that had to be substituted for the estimates considered in theoriginal Distribution Tariff Order. This is settled law.	TS Discoms submit that the instant true up and APR petitions were prepared in conformity with the Regulation 4 of 2005 except from certain deviations and has also provided necessary justifications for
15	Clearly the licensees have not projected their true-ups by adopting the settledprinciple that the true-up is to be carried out on the same methodology as inthe tariff order. For each of the control periods the licensees may be directed to furnish the true ups on the basis of the same methodology as in the tarifforder together with the relevant factual data of the actuals. Uncontrollable Items	such deviations in the instant Petitions and in reply to the additional information requirement sought by the Hon'ble Commission.
16	The only uncontrollable item in the Distribution Business is "Taxes on	

	Income". Nothing more needs to said on this item as the deviations
	stated are marginaland nominal.
	Controllable Items
17	With respect to the Distribution Business, the controllable items as per
	the
	Regulation are
	(a) O& M expenses,
	(b) RoCE,
	(c) Depreciation and
	(d) Non-Tariff Income.
18	In each of the above cases the licensee has to present the actuals for
	true-upon the same methodology and basis as in the relevant tariff order.
	Wherenorms were the basis of the determination in the relevant item,
	the same normsare to be applied on the actuals of the relevant variables.
	For example, if the approved expenditure is on the basis of estimated ckt
	kms etc, the true upexpenditure must be computed on the basis of the
	actual ckt kms applying thesame norm.
19	It is submitted that the classification of expenses as uncontrollable
	and controllable must be given a purposive meaning and effect in the
	consumerinterest.
	"Controllable" means that it is within the control of the licensee and
	thereforeany losses arising on such items cannot be allowed. Only such
	parts of excessexpenditure on such items as are shown by specific and
	explicit pleadings and evidence to have been due to uncontrollable force
	majeure factors can beconsidered. Otherwise, all losses on controllable
	items are to the account of the licensee alone and cannot be allowed. On
	the other hand, if there aregains on any controllable items, the same are
	subject to sharing or passthrough. Share for the licensee in gains ought
	to be allowed only if the gainhas been due to any identifiable efficiency
	improvement in the working of theutility, and not otherwise. For
	example, if a gain is the result of not carryingout works which ought to

	have been carried out, no share ought to be allowed to the licensee on the	
	gains.	
20	The Regulation requires the licensee to present a statement of	
	gain/lossagainst each controllable item after adjusting for any variations	
	on account ofuncontrollable factors. The licensees have not complied	
	with the requirements of this Clause.	
	The licensees have not alreaded on demonstrated by details on evidence	
	The licensees have not pleaded or demonstrated by details or evidence	
	any force majeure circumstances with respect to any of the losses in	
	anycontrollable item.	
21	The submissions hereafter are without prejudice to the aforesaid	
	submissions.	
	Employees Cost & A&G Expenses	
22	For the 1st control period the tariff order discusses the issue but allows	It is to be noted that Regulation 10.8 states that variation in O&M
	only aspecified increase year by year. The licensee cannot contend that	expenses on account of factors beyond the control of petitioner will
	theCommission disregarded the methodology proposed by it at the time	be allowed. TS Discoms submit that the variations between the
	of thetariff order and go on to claim true up on an entirely different	approved and actual claimed were due to the factors which are not
	basis. Thelicensee is bound by the tariff order operting as an inviolable	under the control of TS Discoms and they were required to incur
	budget, and evenemployee costs are to be controlled by the licensee	such expenditure in order to deliver the service as per terms and
	within the amountapproved. The same applies to the 2nd control period.	conditions agreed while granting license to the Discoms by the
		Hon'ble Commission and also as per the tripartite agreement
	For the 3rd control period the tariff order sets out norms irrespective of	entered by the Discoms during unbundling of State Electricity
	the	Board.
	actual cost. These norms cannot be varied in a true-up exercise. The	
	employees cost includes all components such as salaries, benefits,	It is further to be noted that the claimed variation in some of the
	pension, leave encashment etc. The norms have to be applied to the	factors is on account of the fact that such factors were not
	actual number of substations, Line ckt.km., DTR, and number of	considered by the Hon'ble Commission while fixing the O&M
	consumers. No details areavailable in the Petition as to these actuals.	expenses target for the Distribution Business in the MYT Orders.
	The licensees may be directed to furnish the necessary information so as	Since the same have not been considered while arriving the
	to enable the Objector to evaluate theamount allowable in true-up.	approved norms in the tariff Orders, TS Discom requests the
	Further, the increase in the sub-stations, lines, DTRs etc is also to be	Hon'ble Commission to consider the actual expenses incurred

	limited to the approved increase. Clause 9 of theRegulation provides	against these factors and approve the same.
	for, inter alia, a capital investment plan to be approved bythe	
	Commission and these are to be adopted for the determination of	For instance, the approved O&M Cost in the Control Periods are
	tariff.Para 36 read with Table 5.16 of the said tariff Order deals with the	arrived without factoring the wage revision impact, and the major
	Investmentplan approved. The Hon'ble Commission has already	contributor for variation in O&M Cost is due to wage revision
	considered the increases in the MYT period and determined the	which is an uncontrollable factor and beyond the control of the
	EC&AG expenses according to the norms. Therefore, no increase	Distribution Licensee as agreed under tripartite agreement entered
	whatsoever over the approved amounts for each year of the control	by the Discoms during unbundling of State Electricity Board.
	period can be allowed to the licensees.	
	On the other hand, if there are gains to the licensees by applying the	
	samemethodology, the same are to be passed on to the consumers in the next ARR.No share of gains on this account may be allowed to the	
	licensees as the norms are asset based.	
	neclisees as the norms are asset based.	
	It is re-iterated that allowing additional expenditure over and above	
	that computed as per the norms and the approved capital plan is not	
	permissible in a true-up exercise. It is tantamount to modifying the norm	
	itself which isimpermissible in a true-up exercise.	
	R & M Expenses	
23	R&M expenses are also to be allowed only on normative basis.It is	
	submitted that the GFA itself is not unregulated (please see hereunder	
	under the heading GFA). The GFA to be considered for the purposes of	
	R & M expenses is the approved Opening GFA as per the approved	
	investment plan or the actual opening GFA whichever is less.	
	OOME	
	O & M Expenses	
	The O & M expenses, being the aggregate of the Employess Costs, AG	
	Expenses and the R&M expenses will have to be determined in true-up	
	on thebasis of the submissions supra. Gross Fixed Assets (GFA)	
24	Chapter III of the tariff order for the 3rd control period deals with the	TSNPDCL has submitted the work wise details of capitalization
∠ +	Chapter III of the tariff order for the 3rd control period deals with the	TOTAL DOL has submitted the work wise details of capitalization

	approval of the Investment Plan. In para 38, under Table 3.11, the said order clearly directs that "The Discoms shall strictly adhere to the headwise investment schedule mentioned in Annexure E while incurring capital investment." Annexure E to the Order specifies the approved investment for each Discom. The Hon'ble Commission was mindful of the fact that the investments made by the licensees will have financial consequences on the consumers, and that the investments need to be regulated. Accordingly, the investments were regulated. The Order of the Commission with regard to the investment approved will have to be given effect to; and the licensees will have to themselves bear the brunt of consequences arising out of not complying with the order and direction of the Commission. There is no explanation or details in the Petition with regard to the deviation from the amounts of investments approved by the Commission.	and the PCC & FCCs for the works executed in support of capitalization. TSNPDCL requests the Hon'ble Commission to approve the capitalization as claimed in the instant Petitions. TS Discoms, with the commitment for providing power supply with quality and reliability has carried out the works as per the standards and in course of the same there were slight deviations of the actual expenditure incurred from the approved expenditure by the Hon'ble Commission. In view of the same, the Hon'ble Commission is requested to approve the claims as made by the Discoms.
25	Consequently, the GFA to be considered for all purposes in true up (viz R&M,RRB, depreciation etc) will have to be limited to the approved GFA or the actualGFA whichever is lower.	
26	It is necessary also to ascertain the gross value of the assets no longer in use in each financial year and to remove such value from the GFA.	
	Regulated Rate Base	
27	Regulated Rate Base is defined in Clause 2(o) of the Regulation as the value of GFA net of consumer contribution and accumulated depreciation. however, the RRB for the purposes of computing RoCE in terms of Clause 15.1 of the Regulation is different. Keeping that anomaly aside, the working capital is taken as part of the RRB.	TS Discoms submit that they have claimed the RoCE in the instant Petitions in line with Regulation 4 of 2005 and in line with the methodology adopted in the MYT Order dated 27.03.2015. Accordingly, TS Discoms request the Hon'ble Commission to approve the RoCE claimed by the TS Discoms.
	It is clear from the definition of RRB~-i in Clause 15.1 that the RRB for the ith year is to be determined on the basis of the approved capital investment planreferred to in Clause 16.1. Therefore, the RRB	The claim of RoCE by TSNPDCL in the instant Petitions and the actual RoCE recorded in Annual Reports of the Company for the period from 1 st Control Period till FY 2020-21 is placed below for

	calculation for RoCE has to be on the basis of the GFA as approved in	ready reference:		
	the investment plan or the actual GFAwhichever is lower.		DO OF	
	The working capital component WC~ for computation of RoCE is to becomputed in true up on the basis of the allowable O&M expenses as submittedsupra.	Period	ROCE claimed in petition (Rs. in Crs)	Finance Cost as per Annual Reports (Rs. in Crs)
	Return on Capital Employed (ROCE)	1st Control Period	251	264
28	RoCE is to be computed having regard to the submissions supra on the GFA, RRB and working capital.	2 nd Control Period 3 rd Control Period	708 1030	1409 1954
29	7 2 1	FY 2019-20	267	627
		FY 2020-21	268	695
		Total	2524	4948
	However, it is submitted that any loss of RoCE ought not to be allowed as a pass through to the consumer. It should be borne by the licensee alone. On the other hand, if there is a gain in RoCE, the licensee ought to be declined any share of the gain.	From the above it is evidence ROCE as per methodolog		
	Depreciation			
30	It is not clear from the Petitions as to how the depreciation has been computed.	In the distribution filing	of 1st Control Pe	eriod, the licensees had
31	Clause 17 requires the methodology as decided by CERC from time to time. It is not clear whether this has been done, or according to which CERC order or what exactly is the method employed. Prima facie, it does not appear that the depreciation has been computed as per the applicable CERC Regulations. MoP guidelines are inapplicable in the teeth of specific provisions in the Regulation. It is also settled law that if the tariff order departs from the Regulation the departure will have to be corrected at the time of true up in conformity with the Regulation.	claimed depreciation at the rates specified by Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (CERC) plus Advance Agains Depreciation (AAD). In the para 252 of Tariff Order issued by the erstwhile APERC, the Hon'ble Commission has decided to allow the DISCOMS to claim depreciation at the MoP rates and disallow the AAD. Further it is to submit that, the Hon'ble Commission		
32	Clause 17.4 provides that Depreciation shall be allowable only from financialyear following the financial year in which the asset was first put to use. It is notclear whether this has been done. It is not clear as to what part of the additions to fixed assets in a financial year was put to use in the same financial year. In the absence of such necessary	In the 4 th Control Period depreciation as per Mo adopted CERC deprecia Control Period.	d even though the DP rates, the Hor	n'ble Commission has

	information, the amount of depreciation cannot be verified or computed.	
33	It is reiterated that the depreciation is to be allowed only on the opening	TSNPDCL has followed CERC depreciation rates for computation
	GFA (to the extent the assets have been put to use) or the actual opening	of the depreciation from FY 2020-21 onwards i.e., 2nd year of the
	GFA (also to the extent the assets have been put to use) whichever is	4th Control Period in its annual reports and claimed the
	lower. Any gains on this account are only to the share of the consumers.	depreciation as per CERC rates in APR Petition for FY 2020-21.
34	By way of caution, it is submitted that the GFA or depreciation claimed	
	in theaudited accounts, which may be in terms of the requirements of	In view of the above the Hon'ble Commission is earnestly
	the CompaniesAct, is not relevant in the regulatory context. Also, the	requested to allow true-up claims of depreciation up to FY 2019-20
	treatment of consumercontribution in the audited accounts is not	with MoP depreciation rates.
	relevant if different from that in theregulatory framework. It is the	
	depreciation as per the Regulation 4 of 2005 that is relevant and	
	applicable.	
	Special Appropriations — Safety Measures	
35	Purchase of safety material such as earth discharge rods etc are	
	normally	
	routine and regular purchase items within the O&M expenses.	
36	The object and purpose of the Commission allowing a special	
	appropriation as a one-time measure is to give a quantum leap in safety	TSNPDCL has adhered to the guidelines and directions by Hon'ble
	measures so as to achieve a drastic reduction in electrical accidents and	TSERC and has taken actions to reduce the electrical accidents.
	compensations paid for electrical accidents. That objective has not been	The expenditure shown by TSNPDCL in the instant petitions is
	served as the there is continuous increase in electrical accidents and	utilized for improvement of safety measures for reduction of
	fatalities. The special appropriations was not utilised. Now again the	electrical accidents and payment of Ex-gratia to Electrical
	special appropriation allowed is barely utilised and the object is not	accidents. But the safety measures like erection of intermediate
	served.	poles for proper clearance, Providing of Earthing, Reconstruction
37	What the licensees appear to do is to divert routine regular expenditure	of damaged DTR plinth expenditure was booked in the Capital
3,	on safety material from O&M expenses to Special appropriations. That	expenditure. Hence, the incurred expenditure is less than the
	should not be permitted. The amounts stated to have been spent ought to	approved value.
	be properly considered as part and parcel of O&M expenses. The entire	
	amount of approved special appropriations ought to be treated as a gain	
	and allowed to be a pass through entirely to the consumers.	
	Other Expenditure	
38		TCNDDCI as part of roply to the additional information
38	There appears to be diversion from other heads to this head, the details	15NPDCL, as part of reply to the additional information

39	of which is not clear. If expenses that are properly part of O&M or A&G expenses have been diverted to this account, it ought to be excluded altogether. A careful examination of this head of account is necessary. In any case, unless expressly shown by pleadings and evidence that they are due to uncontrollable factors, no part of such losses can be allowed. Moreover, Other Expenditure is neither classified as an uncontrollable item or a controllable item in Clause 10.4, and therefore it is not an item subject to truing up either in terms of Clause 10.5 to 10.7 or 10.8 of the Regulation. The losses are entirely to be disallowed.	requirement, has provided the information regarding the other expenditure as sought by the Hon'ble Commission and also is placed in the website. The components of other expenditure are as follows: 1. Compensations 2. Materials / Scrap / Assests Loss etc 3. Prior Period Expenses TSNPDCL request the Hon'ble Commission to approve the claims against other expenditure as claimed in the instant Petitions.
	Non-Tariff Income	
40	Non Tariff Income is defined in Clause 2(l) of the Regulation. It relates to bothdistribution and retail supply. The Petitions do not state as to what part of the non-tariff business relates to distribution business and what part relates to retail supply business. It should not be that the licensee can, or does, claim losses in non-tariff income in the true up of both.	It is to submit that the licensee is having two businesses i.e., Distribution Business and Retail Supply Business. In this regard, the Non-Tariff income of the Licensee has to segregate into two businesses based on the nature of element. Further, in the case of TSNPDCL, it is to submit that in the 1st Control period, no Non-Tariff income of licensee was considered for the Distribution Business by the Hon'ble Commission while issuing MYT Order. However, in the subsequent Control Periods, the total Non-Tariff income of the Licensee was segregated in to two businesses. As the instant Petitions are in respect of Distribution Business only, the licensee has claimed to the extent of Non-Tariff income of Distribution Business only in present petition. TSNPDCL submits that the non-tariff income as assessed by the objector does not provide for breakup of the individual items which were considered for distribution business out of the total individual items recorded in the respective schedule of annual audited accounts.

		Whereas, TSNPDCL has provided the detailed breakup of Non-Tariff income between Distribution Business and Retail Supply Business in the instant Petitions and as part of reply to the additional information requirement sought by the Hon'ble Commission.
		TSNPDCL requests the Hon'ble Commission to approve the non-tariff income as claimed by the TSNPDCL.
	Other Submissions	
41	The entire approach and content of the Petitions are misconceived, casual andwithout necessary details or explanations. The Petitions are also not in conformity with the Regulation. Properly, the Petitions ought to be dismissed or returned to the licensees.	TS Discoms submit that they have complied with the provisions of Regulation No. 4 of 2005 and have clearly provided the justifications for variations between the approved and actual claimed expenses in the instant Petitions.
	All Objections taken are without prejudice to one another. We desire to be heard through counsel at the hearing.	TS Discoms would abide by any further directions and orders of Hon'ble TSERC

6. M. Y	Venugopala Rao, Senior Journalist & Convener, Centre for Power Stud	lies H.No.1-100/MP/101, Monarch Prestige, Journalists' Colony,
	gampally Mandal, Hyderabad – 500 032	
S.No	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
	True-up claims of TSSPDCL and TSNPDCL for their distribution	
	business for the years from 2006-07 to 2020-21	
1	TSSPDCL and TSNPDCL have sought a true-up of Rs.4092.23 crore -	TS Discoms submits that the Petitions for true up of the 1 st 2 nd and
	Rs.3259 crore by SPDCL and Rs.833.23 crore by NPDCL – for their	3 rd Control periods have been delayed on account of various
	distribution business for the first three control periods and 2019-20 and	factors which were detailed in the instant Petitions filed by the TS
	2020-21, i.e., from 2006-07 to 2020-21. As per applicable regulations,	Discoms. A brief of the various factors led to delay of filing are
	the DISCOMs have to file their true-up claims for distribution business	stated below:
	after completion of the control period concerned. Filing true-up claims	• The Hon'ble TSERC in O.P. No.79 of 2015 of Distribution
	for three control periods at a time is violation of the regulations	tariff order for 3rd control period has directed the TS Discoms
	concerned. The reasons given by the DISCOMs for such an abnormal	to file the true up proposals of Distribution Business for both
	delay in filing the subject claims are untenable, as a period of several	control periods (i.e., 1st Control Period and 2nd Control
	years is not required to complete the formalities they have explained in	Period) after segregating the assets and liabilities of Anantapur
	the subject petitions. It is the responsibility of the DISCOMs to file the	and Kurnool districts from APCPDCL and seven mandals of
	claims as per the regulations of the Commission and of the Government	APNPDCL in line with AP Reorganisation Act, 2014, as per
	of the day to direct them to do so. Therefore, non-compliance of the	prevailing Regulation.
	regulations of the Commission for such an abnormal period should be	• In compliance to the directive, the TS Discoms had filed the
	treated as a failure of omission both by the State Government and its	True-ups for 1 st and 2 nd Control Periods along with Filings for
	DISCOMs. The abnormal delay in filing the subject true-up claims for	ARR and FPT for FY 2016-17.
	the first three control periods by the two TS DISCOMs before TSERC	The first of Commission in the furth of the first water
	for a hefty sum of Rs.3260 crore to be collected from their consumers is	cognizance of the TS Discoms filings (Para No. 5.96 of the TO
	unwarranted and impermissible. Such a delay is not in the interest of the	2016-17) on true up and a directive was issued to file the true
	DISCOMs, because they have been deprived of what has been due to	up of Distribution business for the first two Control Periods
	them during the said period. Nor is it in the interest of the consumers,	and for FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16 in order to issue
	because such an accumulated burden, coupled with the highest tariff	necessary directions to improve the performance of TS
	hike in force for the year 2022-23, will unjustifiably overburden the	Discoms.
	consumers, besides leaving scope for imposing true-up burdens on new	• Subsequently, the licensees in their filings for FY 2017-18
	consumers for the consumption of power by old consumers under the	havementioned that "as Government of Telangana (GoTS) is
	same service connection. It will also leave scope for imposing the true-	

up burden of a particular control period on consumers who have taken new connections after that control period. For the last three financial years, the DISCOMs did not file their ARR and tariff revision proposals in time. Hon'ble TSERC rightly returned their belated filings, as they were not filed in time. However, TSERC permitted the DISCOMs to collect tariffs for the last three years as per the earlier tariff order given four years back. True-up claims are for additional expenditure incurred by the DISCOMs or loss of revenue as determined in the annual tariff order/MYT order issued by the Commission. Since for the last three years, no public hearings were held and no retail supply tariff orders were issued by the Commission, the question of determining annual revenue requirement by the Commission and variations therein for trueup claims would not arise. The very valid reason for which TSERC returned the belated ARR and tariff proposals of the DISCOMs for the last three financial years should equally apply to the belated true-up claims of the DISCOMs for their distribution business. For the failure of non-submission of true-up claims in time, the Government should bear that burden of true-up claims and provide permissible amount to the DISCOMs. It is to be noted that the DISCOMs could not file their trueup claims for their retail supply business for the last three financial years which should be done on yearly basis as per the applicable regulations of the Commission.

The hefty sum claimed under true-up by the DISCOMs is after adjusting non-tariff income, revenue from wheeling charges/open access and amounts received by them from the State Government under UDAY. It should not have been difficult for the DISCOMs to file their true-up claims for distribution business control period-wise, even with some delay for unavoidable reasons. There is no justification in filing the claims for three control periods together, for, the issues pertaining to a control period would not have any relevance or impact on the claims for the immediate previous control period. Obviously, the abnormal and impermissible delay in filing the subject claims involves elements of

- considering signing UDAY scheme this year the Licensee will file true-up for FY15-16 and FY16-17 along with tariff proposal after considering final MoU signed by GoTS and GoI.
- As the clarification regarding equity infusion and fund transfers on account of UDAY has been received only in FY 2017-18, the licensees couldn't ascertain the impact of UDAY on the true-ups of 1st Control Period and have not filed the true up Petitions along with ARR filings for FY 2018-19.
- The Hon'ble TSERC vide its letter dt. 20.11.2018 has directed the TS Discoms to file the true up proposals for the distribution business for earlier Control Periods duly segregating the assets and liabilities relating to the districts of Ananthapur and Kurnool along with seven mandals relating to APNPDCL.
- Consequently, TS Discoms have made submissions regarding the true-up claims for 1st, 2nd and 3rd Control Periods along with ARR filings for Distribution business for the 4th control period (FY 2019-2024).
- The Hon'ble Commission in its Tariff Order dt. 29.04.2020, has directed the DISCOMs to submit their true-up claims along with complete details regarding the capitalisation claimed for each year of the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Control Periods in the Petitions to be filed for Annual Performance Review for FY 2019-20 before 31.12.2020. (Directive No. 3).
- Interlocutory applications to condone the delay in filing the true up Petition have been submitted along with the Petitions.
- In line with the Hon'ble TSERC's directive in Tariff Order 29.04.2020, TS Discoms are filing the instant Petitions and request the Hon'ble Commission to accept the claims made by the TS Discoms.

dereliction and redtapism at the levels of the DISCOMs and the State Government, much more so in the case of the latter, because the DISCOMs have nothing to gain by delaying filing of the subject petitions inordinately. Needless to say, for filing true-up claims of the first control period (for three years up to 2008-09), a period of more than twelve years is not required. For filing true-up claims for the second control period (2009-10 to 2013-14), a period of more than seven years is not required. For filing true-up claims of the third control period (2014-15 to 2018-19), a period of more than two years is not required. In this connection, it may be noted that TS TRANSCO filed its true-up/true-down claims periodically and up to 2020-21.

3

Contrary to its practice, the Hon'ble Commission has not sought any clarifications, responses and further information from the DISCOMs relating to the subject petitions before inviting objections and suggestions from the interested public. Even if the Commission has sought and got such further information from the DISCOMs, it is not made public in its web site. Though the subject petitions were received by the Commission on September 1, 2021, public notices were issued in the month of August, 2022. In other words, it can be presumed that a period of nearly one year from the date of filing of the subject petitions is not sufficient for the Hon'ble Commission to study the same and seek relevant clarifications and further information, if any, from the DISCOMs, or that it has considered that no further clarifications and information are required from the DISCOMs to meet regulatory requirements. The subject petitions and annexers there to filed by the DISCOMs run into 783 pages - 526 pages by SPDCL and 257 pages by NPDCL – pertaining to a period of 15 years. It is difficult to study and analyse the same and prepare required submissions within a short period from the date of uploading the subject petitions on the web site of the Hon'ble Commission. I request the Hon'ble Commission to extend time for filing objections and suggestions at least by 20 days and reschedule date of public hearing suitably.

TSNPDCL submits that the Hon'ble Commission has sought additional information requirement in respect of the instant Petitions and TSNPDCL has submitted response to the additional information requirement and the same can be accessed from Discom website.

The matter of time allowed to the objectors for filing of objections is under the purview of the Hon'ble Commission. TSNPDCL shall abide by the instructions given by the Hon'ble Commission.

4

Relating to its annual performance for distribution business for the year 2019-20, TSSPDCL has submitted that, under the Financial Restructuring Package 2012, the then State government assumed the liability of the DISCOM (erstwhile APCPDCL) to the extent of Rs.4026 crore covering the short-term borrowings towards expensive power of the DISCOM. TSNPDCL has submitted that under FRP, the State government assumed liability of the DISCOM (erstwhile APNPDCL) to the extent of Rs.1744 crore. The DISCOMS have contended that the FRP covered the liability of the DISCOMs towards borrowing for purchasing expensive power which was not admitted by the Hon'ble Commission in the fuel surcharge adjustment/power purchase true-ups and that the impact of FRP cannot be considered under the true-ups of the DISCOMs. In this connection, it needs to be submitted and examined whether the liability of Rs.4026 crore and Rs.1744 crore taken over by the State government under FRP cover only FSA/power purchase trueups claimed by the DISCOMs but rejected by the Hon'ble Commission.

Delhi dated 5.10.2012 to enable the turnaround of the State Discoms and ensure their long-term variability. The scheme contains measures to be taken by the State Discoms and State Government for achieving financial turnaround by restructuring their debt with support through a Transitional Finance Mechanism by Central Government.

Aligning the State Government commitment towards the past short-term liabilities with the FRP of Govt. of India, the State Government assumed the liability of Rs.1744 Crore of TSNPDCL vide G.O.Ms.No.62, dated 14-11-2013. Therefore, the liability of Rs.1744 Crore assumed by the State Government under FRP pertains to short term loans to enable the turnaround of the TSNPDCL and ensure its long term variability.

FRP covered the liability of the DISCOM towards borrowing for purchasing expensive power. Even after takeover of liabilities under FRP, TSNPDCL incurring losses. Hence, True up claim submitted by TSNPDCL may be considered by the Hon'ble Commission

TS Discoms submit that Government of India formulated and

approved the scheme for Financial Restructuring Package (FRP) of State Distribution Companies vide office memorandum No.20/11/2012-APDRP, Govt. of India, Ministry of Power, New

As per the UDAY Scheme the total debts of TSNPDCL to be taken over by Government of Telangana was Rs.3,379.08 Crores (being 75% of total outstanding loans as on 30th September 2015 of TSNPDCL) in the form of 50% as Grant, 25% in the form of Equity and Bonds 25%. The Government of Telangana has taken over Rs. 3,379.08 Crores debt of TSNPDCL under UDAY Scheme by infusion of Equity amounting Rs.2396.17 Crore in 2016-17, Rs.450 Crore in 2017-18 and Rs.532.91 Crore in 2018-19. Entire

Under UDAY scheme signed in 2017, GoTS took over Rs.5550.21 crore (75% of total outstanding) of TSSPDCL as on 21.23.2017 by infusing equity of Rs.4593.84 crore in 2016-17 and Rs.282.93 crore in 2017-18, the DISCOM has explained. Similarly, under UDAY scheme signed in 2017, GoTS took over Rs.3373 crore (75% of total outstanding) of TSNPDCL as on 21.23.2017 by infusing equity of Rs.2396 crore in 2016-17 and Rs.450 crore in 2017-18, the DISCOM has explained. Since this equity infusion is not capital grant, it attracts a return on

equity @ 14% as per Regulation 4 of 2015, the DISCOMs have contended. Furthermore, as per the national tariff policy 2016, equity in excess of the normative level of 25% attracts weighted average rate of interest and as such, "no benefit has been accrued to the DISCOM due to UDAY," the DISCOMs have submitted. In other words, the implied purport of the submission of the DISCOMs is that, since it is infusion of equity by GoTS under UDAY, instead of giving a capital grant, that amount cannot be adjusted for reducing the true-up claims of the DISCOMs. Infusion of equity by GoTS is unrelated to the terms of UDAY scheme and, as such, it is not fulfilling its obligation under UDAY. Therefore, the contention of the DISCOMs that GoTS infused the said equity under UDAY is untenable. I request the Hon'ble Commission to take into account what has been due to the DISCOMs from GoTS under UDAY and adjust the same towards true-up claims of the DISCOMs.

amount of Rs.3379.08 Crore was released as Equity as per orders of State Government. Therefore, the same shall be treated as equity infusion by the State Government.

Government of Telangana has taken over 5% losses incurred in FY 2016-17 in FY 2017-18. Further the Government of Telangana has issued the GO No.15, Dated. 18.06.2022 towards the takeover of Losses of 2017-18 to 2020-21 as per the clauses under the UDAY scheme for an amount of Rs. 8,925.00 Crore for TSDISCOMs, out of which TSNPDCL share is of Rs. 2,697 Crore (i.e., 156.00 Crore towards 10% loss of 2017-18, Rs. 763 Crore towards 25% loss of 2018-19, Rs. 558 Crore towards 50% loss of 2019-20 and Rs. 1,220 Crore towards 50% loss of 2020-21). The same is recognized during FY 2021-22 under Revenue from Operations as the funds received from GoTS in the FY 2022-23 before closure of Financials for 2021-22. Even after loss takeover the TSNPDCL has accumulated losses which are not covered under UDAY. In view of the same, True up claim submitted by TSNPDCL may be considered by the Hon'ble Commission.

The reason given by the Commission for approving around 50% of the excess amount of cost of power purchase claimed by the DISCOMs under true-up for the year 2016-17, is that the DISCOMs had not substantiated savings due to UDAY scheme under which the Government of Telangana had to take over 75% of outstanding debt of the DISCOMs as on 30.9.2015. The Hon'ble Commission considered the true-up/true-down claims of the DISCOMs provisionally in the tariff order for 2017-18. In the retail supply tariff order for the year 2018-19, the Hon'ble TSERC maintained that "the Government of India, Government of Telangana State and the Licensees have entered into a Tripartite MoU (UDAY MoU) dated 04.01.2017 in order to improve the operational and financial efficiency of the Licensees to enable their financial turnaround. Under the said scheme, the Government of

6

Government of Telangana, as per the Tripartite MoU, has takenover 5% losses incurred in FY 2016-17 in FY 2017-18. Further the Government of Telangana has issued the GO No.15, Dated. 18.06.2022 towards the takeover of Losses of 2017-18 to 2020-21 as per the clauses under the UDAY scheme for an amount of Rs. 8,925.00 Crore for TSDISCOMs, out of which TSNPDCL share is of Rs. 2,697 Crore (i.e., 156.00 Crore towards 10% loss of 2017-18, Rs. 763 Crore towards 25% loss of 2018-19, Rs. 558 Crore towards 50% loss of 2019-20 and Rs. 1,220 Crore towards 50% loss of 2020-21). The same is recognized during FY 2021-22 under Revenue from Operations as the funds received from GoTS in the FY 2022-23 before closure of Financials for 2021-22. Even after loss takeover the TSNPDCL has accumulated losses which

Telangana State is to take over 75% of the outstanding debt of the Licensees as on 30.09.2015 by the end of FY 2016-17. The Commission directed the Licensees to submit the savings on their distribution businesses upon implementation of UDAY. In reply, the Licensees submitted that the savings due to UDAY Scheme may be considered at the end of the Control Period. The Commission does not find merit in the submissions of the DISCOMs particularly when the savings have been indicated and considered by the Commission in the Tariff Order for FY 2017-18. Hence, the Commission has considered the savings as Rs.743.88 crore and Rs.372.54 crore for SPDCL and NPDCL respectively, the same as considered in the Tariff Order for FY 2017-18" (page 85 of Tariff Order for 2018-19). I request the Hon'ble Commission to take the same stand on the obligation of the GoTS to take over liabilities of the TS DISCOMs under UDAY and adjust the same to reduce their true-up claims for distribution business. Infusion of equity by GoTS, instead of taking over their liabilities under UDAY, does not contribute to enable the financial turnaround of the DISCOMs and reduction of the burden of true-up on the consumers. Since infusion of equity took place, supposedly under UDAY, in the years 2016-17 and 2017-18, it is obvious that the DISCOMs had deliberately avoided to show the same in their submissions then by contending that the savings due to UDAY scheme may be considered at the end of the control period, despite the direction of the Hon'ble Commission to the licensees to submit the savings on their distribution businesses upon implementation of UDAY. It was because infusion of equity under UDAY was untenable and it cannot be treated as taking over of liabilities of the DISCOMs as per the terms of the said scheme. TSSPDCL has submitted that it had incurred a loss of Rs.4940.24 crore loss for the year 2019-20. Similarly, TSNPDCL has shown a loss of Rs.1116.29 crore for the year 2019-20. I also request the Hon'ble Commission to examine the latest accumulated loss of both the DISCOMs and make it public.

are not covered under UDAY. In view of the same, True up claim submitted by TSNPDCL may be considered by the Hon'ble Commission.

While the then government assumed liabilities of the DISCOMs under FRP which covered the liability of the DISCOMs towards borrowing expensive power which was not admitted by the Hon'ble Commission in the fuel surcharge adjustment/power purchase true-ups and as such, as rightly claimed by the DISCOMs, the impact of FRP cannot be considered under the true-ups of the DISCOMs. In other words, assuming of liabilities of the DISCOMs by the State government under FRP benefited the DISCOMs and their consumers, in the form of reduction of liabilities of the DISCOMs, on the one hand, and reduction of the burden of true up claims on the consumers, on the other. The TRS government did not assume liabilities of the DISCOMs under UDAY which it should have as per the terms of the scheme. Infusing equity, instead of assuming liabilities, i.e., sanctioning a grant to redeem liabilities, means doing business and expecting return thereon, i.e., earning profit. Such an arrangement cannot benefit the DISCOMs in the form of reduction of their liabilities and the consumers in the form of reducing the burdens of true-up claims. That is not the intended objective under UDAY. Irrespective any scheme like FRP or UDAY, it is always open to the State government to sanction funds for meeting requirements of equity of its power utilities. Projecting or treating infusion of equity by the State government in the DISCOMs as taking over liabilities of the latter under a scheme like UDAY would be a blatant distortion and legally untenable and defeat the very purpose of the scheme to which the State government has been a willing signatory. The DISCOMs themselves have admitted that "no benefit has been accrued to the DISCOM due to UDAY as infusion by the GoTS attracts return on equity of 14% which is higher than the cost of debt that would have incurred in the absence of UDAY scheme." Moreover, it is to be ascertained whether the amount intended for infusion of equity by GoTS was actually spent for that purpose or for redeeming the liabilities of the DISCOMs. If that amount was used for equity to create assets and capitalise them for distribution business of the DISCOMs and earn

TS Discoms submit that Government of Telangana (GoTS), as per the Tripartite MoU signed under UDAY scheme, has taken over 5% losses incurred in FY 2016-17 in FY 2017-18. Further the GoTS has issued the GO No.15, Dated. 18.06.2022 towards the takeover of Losses of the period FY 2017-18 to FY 2020-21 for an amount of Rs. 8,925.00 Crore for TSDISCOMs, out of which TSNPDCL share is of Rs. 2,697 Crore (i.e., 156.00 Crore towards 10% loss of 2017-18, Rs. 763 Crore towards 25% loss of 2018-19, Rs. 558 Crore towards 50% loss of 2019-20 and Rs. 1,220 Crore towards 50% loss of 2020-21). The same is recognized during FY 2021-22 under Revenue from Operations as the funds received from GoTS in the FY 2022-23 before closure of Financials for 2021-22. Even after loss takeover the TSNPDCL has accumulated losses which are not covered under UDAY. In view of the same, True up claim submitted by TSNPDCL may be considered by the Hon'ble Commission.

the objector
t

7.Sre	ekumar Nhalur, Prayas (Energy Group), Unit III, Devgiri,	Joshi Museum Lane, Kothrud Industrial Area,
Koth	<u>rud, Pune - 411 038, India, Phone: +91-20- 2542 0720, Fa</u>	x: 2543 9134; Website : www.prayaspune.org/peg
S.No	Summary of Objections / Suggestions	Response of the Licensee
1.	Delay in submission of true-up petitions	
	Public notice inviting comments were released in August 2022, and from the DISCOM petitions it appears that they were submitted to TSERC in September 2021. DISCOMs have given reasons for the delay, which includes issues with state re-organisation and UDAY program. Delay in true-up filing leads to higher carrying costs to the DISCOM, which have to be borne by the consumers or the government. Hence any delay in completing the true- up process (covering the filing of petition and issuing of order) impacts the consumer. Since the state government and DISCOMs are mostly responsible for this delay, it is fair that they should bear at a majority proportion of the cost of true-up. It is important to fix responsibility for this inordinate delay, and ensure that this is not repeated. TSERC could take proactive action if there is such delays in future	TS Discoms submit that the delay in filing of the true up and APR Petitions was on account of the genuine reasons which were elaborated in the instant Petitions and the same were also pointed out by the objector. TS Discoms submit that they were constantly pursuing the matter of filing the true up and APR Petitions considering the fact that periodic true ups shall ultimately benefit Discoms and consumers of the State by improving Discoms' financial health. In view of the above, TS Discoms request to approve the true up and APR claims as claimed by the TS Discoms.
2.	User un-friendly formats of the petitions	
	The two petitions run into hundreds of pages, most of which are in pdf scan format. TSPDCL petition is 526 pages long and TSNPDCL one is 257 pages long. Out of the total of 783 pages, 80% are utilisation certificates, with no details, but appear after the petition of each control period. Table of contents and summary of the petitions are not available. Quite a few pages have been scanned upside down or in transpose, making it very difficult to read. There is no uniformity in format also. For example, TSPDCL summary Tables for a control period (giving break-up or ARR, Revenue and Gap) have total for each control period. For TSNPDCL, total is available only for the 3rd Control period. Since the document is not searchable or amenable to copying, it takes a very long time to analyse the numbers.	TS Discoms submit that the instant Petitions can also be accessed through the respective websites of the Discoms. The copies of the instant Petitions available in websites are individual copies of Petitions instead of a combined file. TS Discoms make note of the observations made by the stakeholder in the context of uniformity of format and search ability of the Petitions.

We strongly suggest that the petitions should be filed in a uniform format prepared by TSERC and all the important data tables should be made available in spread sheet format. This will improve the quality and quantity of public participation.

3. Scrutiny of some crucial parameters

All the Tariff orders have allocated special provisions for safety measures. An analysis of the petitions shows that there was under spending in 1st Control period, overspending in the 2nd Control period and very significant under spending in the 3rd Control period. This is indicated in Table below, which shows the approved and actual expenditure on safety for the whole state, for the three control periods.

	1st CP	2 nd CP	3rd CP
Approved Rs Cr	30	50	493
Actual Rs Cr	22	127	133
% Utilisation	73	255	27

As indicated by data from CEA or National Crime Records Bureau, the number of accidents in the state has been increasing. Hence it is important to understand the efficacy of these investments. Electricity accidents are an unfortunate side effect of electrification and require capital expenses, awareness building and allocation of human resources to reduce their incidence. For optimal utilisation of such special allocation, we suggest that TSERC commission third party safety audit of the DISCOMs. The objective could be to short list the causes of accidents and measures to reduce them in a planned fashion of the next 5-10 years. Another important aspect of rural supply is the rate of Distribution Transformer (DT) failures. As per the TSNPDCL petition, the percentage of DT failures increased from 10% in FY2010 to 15% in FY2014 (calculated based on data available on pdf page 34 of the TSNPDCL petition). It is surprising that this has happened even though

TSNPDCL has been taking actions to reduce the electrical accidents and subsequent damage caused by such accidents.

It is to submit that the following safety measures are being taken up to avoid electrical accidents:

- i. Yearly once, pre-monsoon inspections of 33 KV, 11 KV and LT lines are conducted with a program and the scheduled maintenance works are being carried out duly publishing in newspapers in advance, every month Second Saturday maintenance works at each substation are carried out.
- ii. A detailed survey is being conducted for 33 KV, 11 KV and LT lines regularly to identify loose spans, leaned poles, rusted/damaged poles, in adequate clearances and the following rectification works are being carried promptly.
 - a) Insertion of intermediate poles.
 - b) Replacement of damaged poles.
 - c) Replacement of damaged conductors.
 - d) Providing of spacers.
 - e) Restringing of loose spans.
 - f) Replacement of Disc's/Insulators.
 - g) Rectification of stays.
 - h) Replacement of damaged AB switches.
- iii. Survey of Distribution Transformer structures is being conducted regularly and rectification works are being executed on top priority as follows:
 - a) Arresting of leakages and refilling of Transformer oil.
 - b) Rectification of defective AB switches.
 - c) Rectification of earth pipes and earthing.

the R&M cost for DTs have significantly increased from Rs. 15.66 Cr (FY2010) to Rs 41.4 Cr (FY2010), which implies a per DT R&M cost increase from Rs. 1046 lakh/DT (FY2010) to Rs. 1979 lakh/DT (FY2014). This also needs close scrutiny.

- d) Load balancing of DTRs.
- e) Correction of HG Fuse gaps.
- f) Replacement of LT bushing rods.
- g) Rectification of section fuses.
- h) Providing of fencing at vulnerable places.
- i) Raising of plinth

In addition to the above, various rectification works were carried out under Palle Pragathi and Pattana Pragathi Schemes.

iv. Strict instructions were issued to the field officers to adhere to the department standard procedure for earthing of Distribution Transformers.

In addition to the above, TSNPDCL conducts the awareness programs among the general public and also taking up diligent technical checkup of lines etc., periodically to curb fatal & Nonfatal accidents due to electrocution and TSNPDCL is also imparting training to all the field staff on the subject of safety measures.

TSNPDCL is conducting safety week in the first week of May every year.

Awareness is being created among the consumers not to utilize the sub-standard materials like house wiring, switches and service wires and electrical appliances

TSNPDCL has adhered to the guidelines and directions by Hon'ble TSERC and has taken actions to reduce the electrical accidents. The expenditure shown by TSNPDCL in the instant petitions is utilized for improvement of safety measures for reduction of electrical accidents and payment of Ex-gratia to Electrical accidents. But the safety measures like erection of intermediate poles for proper clearance, Providing of Earthing, Reconstruction of damaged DTR plinth expenditure was booked in the Capital expenditure. Hence, the incurred expenditure is less than the approved value.

As regards to safety audit, TSNPDCL shall abide by the directions of the Hon'ble Commission.

4. Clarifications on UDAY adjustment

An important component of true-up is related to UDAY adjustment. DISCOM petitions mention that state contribution has been in the form of equity infusion and hence they have claimed 12% RoE. They also suggest that the UDAY related savings that were adjusted in the TSERC tariff orders of FY2018 and FY2019 need to be compensated.

UDAY scheme was intended to help the DISCOMs out of the high debt burden, which was resulting in high interest burden, which would affect the consumer tariff. State take-over of the debt burden was to make the DISCOMs debt free and thus help in tariff benefits to the consumers. Table below is taken from Section 1.2 (b) of the tri-partite UDAY agreement that was signed between the Ministry of Power (GoI), Government of Telangana and the DISCOMs on 4/1/2017.

				(Rs.	(Rs. in Crores)					
Year	Total Debt taken over	DISCOMs in	DISCOMs in	Transfer to the DISCOMs in the form of Equity	State Loan of					
Year - 1 (By 31-03-2017)	75% of the total debt i.e. Rs. 8923 Crs.		25% of Rs 8923 crs – Rs 2230 crs *		Rs. 2230 Crs					

^{*} Discoms to pay the interest on loans till takeover by GoTS. The loan to be taken over by GoTS in the year 2017-18.

It is clear from the Table that only 25% of the debt was to be transferred to DISCOMs in the form of equity. But the petitions mention that 75% of the debt is equity infusion. The process of UDAY adjustment by DISCOMs requires a closer scrutiny.

As per the UDAY Scheme the total debts of TSNPDCL to be taken over by Government of Telangana was Rs.3,379.08 Crores (being 75% of total outstanding loans as on 30th September 2015 of TSNPDCL) in the form of 50% as Grant, 25% in the form of Equity and Bonds 25%. The Government of Telangana has taken over Rs. 3,379.08 Crores debt of TSNPDCL under UDAY Scheme by infusion of Equity amounting Rs.2396.17 Crore in 2016-17, Rs.450 Crore in 2017-18 and Rs.532.91 Crore in 2018-19. Entire amount of Rs.3379.08 Crore was released as Equity as per orders of State Government. Therefore, the same shall be treated as equity infusion by the State Government.

Annexure-A FIXED ASSETS REGISTER FROM FY 2006-07 TO FY 2020-21

ANNUAL ACCOUNTS 2006 - 2007													
11						FIXED ASS	ETS						
(N/D)						SCHEDULE	- 19						
											(Amount in R	s)	
		GR	OSS BLOCK				PROVISION FO	R DEPREC	CIATION		NET I	BLOCK	
ASSET GROUP	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.06)	Additions	Deductions		At the end of the year (as at 31.3.07)	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.06)	Depreciation for the year	Adjustm ents on Deductio ns		At the end of the year (as at 31.3.07)			
Land and Land Rights	15300762	1			15300763	0	0	0		0	15300763	15300762	
Buildings	185844282	5911244			191755526	79593949	4679364	0		84273313	107482213	106250333	
Civil Works	7223387	2797001			10020388	199960	243125	0		443085	9577303	7023427	
Plant and Machinery	6578031447	538632175	3530100		7113133522	2561526969	441076232	1178633		3001424568	4111708954	4016504478	
Lines and Cable Network etc.	9083554628	730619580	1403693		9812770515	4164753120	623866907	1201274		4787418753	5025351762	4918801508	
Meters and Metering equipment	0	0			0	0	0	0		0	0	0	
Vehicles	23186462	0	450000		22736462	20109481	243196	405000		19947677	2788785	3076981	
Furniture and Fixtures	30817760	667366			31485126	22862778	1159176	0		24021954	7463172	7954982	
Office Equipments	98218760	22196876			120415636	25063196	13370438	0		38433634	81982002	73155564	
Sub-Total	16022177488	1300824243	5383793	0	17317617938	6874109453	1084638438	2784907	0	7955962984	9361654954	9148068035	
Capital expenditure resulting in an asset not belonging to Board	0	0		0		0	0	0			0	0	
Spare units / Service units	216547048	34827776			251374824	18509802	9726367	0		28236169	223138655	198037246	
Capital spares at Generating stations	0	0			0	0	0	0		0	0	0	
Assets taken over from Licensees pending final valuation	0		0		0	0	0	0		0			
TOTAL	16238724536	1335652019	5383793	0	17568992762	6892619255	1094364805	2784907	0	7984199153	9584793609	9346105281	

				ANN	UAL ACCOUNTS	2007 - 2008						
-/-/-						FIXED ASS						
(N/D)_						SCHEDULE	- 19					
											(Amount in R	s)
		GP(OSS BLOCK				PROVISION FO	D DEDDE	CIATION		NET	BLOCK
		GRO	JOS BLUCK				PROVISION FO	N DEFRE	JATION		NEIL	LOCK
ASSET GROUP	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.07)	Additions	Deductions	Raciace	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.08)	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.07)	Depreciation for the year	Adjustm ents on Deductio ns		At the end of the year (as at 31.3.08)		
Land and Land Rights	15300763	2502558			17803321	0	0	0		0	17803321	15300763
Buildings	192117727	18046051			210163778	84273312	5296154	0		89569466	120594312	107844415
Civil Works	9880363	33703386			43583749	443090	325626	0		768715	42815033	9437273
Plant and Machinery	7323735867	694673057	11618784		8006790140	3026544215	488872318	4177566		3511238967	4495551173	4297191652
Lines and Cable Network etc.	8548343072	655163386	1401815		9202104642	4147468283	534659262	1075551		4681051994	4521052649	4400874789
Meters and Metering equipment	1302117646	189946256			1492063902	643066985	156445593	0		799512577	692551325	659050661
Vehicles	22736460	0			22736460	19947677	515141	0		20462818	2273643	2788783
Furniture and Fixtures	30363333	470177			30833510	23110669	1180337	0		24291006	6542505	7252664
Office Equipments	6432098	873361			7305459	4818963	307321	0		5126285	2179175	1613135
Computers and IT Equipments	116053853	9148405		0	125202258	33496340	15270219	0	0	48766558	76435699	82557513
Airconditioners	1333163	222580			1555743	1024626	53246	0		1077872	477871	308537
Low Value Assets	4993	0			4993	4993	0	0		4993	0	0
Devaluted assets	573425		573425		0	0	0	0		0	0	573425
TOTAL	17568992763	1604749217	13594024.4	0	19160147955	7984199152	1202925216	5253117	0	9181871251	9978276705	9584793611

ANNUAL ACCOUNTS 2008 - 2009 FIXED ASSETS														
N4D							ASSETS ULE - 19							
- N7U/-						SCHED	OLE - 19				(Amount in Rs			
											(Alliount in Ks	/		
		GROS	S BLOCK				PROVISION	FOR DEPRECIA	ATION		NET BLOCK			
	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.08)	Additions	Deduction s	Reclass	t the end of the ear (as at 1.3.09)	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.08)	Depreciation for the year	Adjustments on Deductions		At the end of the year (as at 31.3.09)	At the end of the year 2008- 09	At the end of the year 2007- 08		
Land and Land Rights	17803321	10,000			17813321	0				0	17813321	17803321		
Buildings	210163778	33,514,296			243678074	89569466	5,959,099			95528565	148149509	120594312		
Civil Works	43583749	41,313,961			84897710	768715	1,756,014			2524729	82372980	42815033		
Plant and Machinery	8006790140	2,618,423,735	24666437		10600547438	3511238967	586,013,577	7,285,770		4089966774	6510580663	4495551173		
Lines and Cable Network etc.	9202104642	1,441,861,553	313828		10643652367	4681051994	586,138,382	142,152		5267048224	5376604144	4521052649		
Meters and Metering equipment	1492063902	263,427,009			1755490911	799512577	168,210,066			967722643	787768268	692551325		
Vehicles	22736460	80,387			22816847	20462818	4,492			20467310	2349538	2273643		
Furniture and Fixtures	30833510	488,995			31322505	24291006	1,043,435			25334441	5988065	6542505		
Office Equipments	7305459	759,794			8065253	5126285	314,461			5440746	2624508	2179175		
Computers and IT Equipments	125202258	1,150,362			126352620	48766558	15,523,178			64289736	62062883	76435699		
Airconditioners	1555743	0			1555743	1077872	70,056			1147928	407815	477871		
Low Value Assets	4993	6,534			11527	4993	6,534			11527	C	0		
Devaluted assets	0	0			0	0	0			0	C	0		
TOTAL	19160147955	4401036626	24980265	0	23536204316	9181871251	1365039294	7427921.51	0	10539482623	12996721693	9978276705		



ANNUAL ACCOUNTS 2009 - 2010

SCHEDULE - 5

FIXED ASSETS

(Amount in Rupees)

			GRO	SS BLOCK				PROVISION	FOR DEPREC	IATION		NET BLOCK		
SI.No.	ASSET GROUP	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.09)	Additions	Deductions	Reclassi fication	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.10)	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.09)	Depreciation for the year	Adjustments on Deductions	Reclass ification s	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.10)	At the end of the year 2009-10	At the end of the year 2008-09	
1	Land and Land Rights	17813321	0			17813321	0	0			0	17813321	17813321	
2	Buildings	243678074	11577625			255255699	95528565	6660688			102189253	153066446	148149509	
3	Civil Works	84897710	48042361			132940071	2524729	3541064			6065793	126874278	82372980	
4	Plant and Machinery	10600558965	1961703608	20754641		12541507932	4089978302	776438764	1259898		4865157167	7676350765	6510580663	
5	Lines and Cable Network etc.	10643652367	1332616570	146420		11976122517	5267048224	683175443	5252		5950218415	6025904102	5376604144	
6	Meters and Metering equipment	1755490911	325578966	18877716		2062192161	967722643	193908239	357835		1161273047	900919114	787768268	
7	Vehicles	22816847	1302796	14395		24105248	20467310	354690	12956		20809044	3296204	2349538	
8	Furniture and Fixtures	31322505	930199			32252704	25334441	860319			26194760	6057945	5988065	
9	Office Equipments	8065253	126721			8191975	5440746	349311			5790057	2401918	2624508	
10	Computers and IT Equipments	126352620	20141796			146494416	64289736	15548221			79837957	66656459	62062883	
11	Airconditioners	1555743	201030			1756773	1147928	69472			1217400	539373	407815	
12	Intangible Assets	9525387	15541792			25067179	5390034	2059409	0	0	7449443	17617736	4135353	
	TOTAL	23545729703	3717763464	39793172	0	27223699996	10544872657	1682965620	1635941	0	12226202336	14997497659	13000857046	



ANNUAL ACCOUNTS2010-2011

SCHEDULE - 5

FIXED ASSETS

(Amount in Rupees)

			GR	OSS BLOCK				PROVISION F	OR DEPRECIA	TION		NET BLOCK		
SI.N o.	ASSET GROUP	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.10)	Additions	Deductions	Recla ssifica tion	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.11)	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.10)	Depreciation for the year	Adjustments on Deductions	Recla ssifica tions	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.11)	At the end of the year 2010-11	At the end of the year 2009-10	
1	Land and Land Rights	17813321	0	0	0	17813321	0	0	0	0	0	17813321	17813321	
2	Buildings	255255699	151634851	0	0	406890550	102189253	8147276	0	0	110336529	296554021	153066446	
3	Civil Works	132940071	20298412	0	0	153238483	6065793	4183782	0	0	10249575	142988908	126874278	
4	Plant and Machinery	12541507932	1218839130	26488463	0	13733858599	4865157167	846344974	12564061	0	5698938079	8034920520	7676350766	
5	Lines and Cable Network etc.	11976122517	847245692	58351	0	12823309858	5950218415	720419138	48471	0	6670589083	6152720775	6025904102	
6	Meters and Metering equipment	2062192161	91454660	78184327	0	2075462495	1161273046	176869751	51827313	0	1286315484	789147011	900919115	
7	Vehicles	24105248	0	0	0	24105248	20809045	461980	0	0	21271025	2834223	3296203	
8	Furniture and Fixtures	32252704	228394	0	0	32481098	26194760	908684	0	0	27103444	5377654	6057944	
9	Office Equipments	8191975	874822	0	0	9066796	5790057	362383	0	0	6152440	2914357	2401918	
10	Computers and IT Equipments	146494416	6244103	0	0	152738519	79837957	16415677	0	0	96253634	56484885	66656459	
11	Air conditioners	1756773	532253	0	0	2289026	1217400	81564	0	0	1298964	990062	539373	
12	Intangible Assets	25067179	10865550	0	0	35932729	7449443	6167802	0	0	13617245	22315484	17617736	
	TOTAL	27223699996	2348217866	104731141	0	29467186721	12226202336	1780363011	64439846	0	13942125502	15525061219	14997497660	



ANNUAL ACCOUNTS2011-2012

SCHEDULE - 5

FIXED ASSETS

8. NON-CURRENT ASSETS (Amount in Rupees)

A) FIXED ASSTES
i)TANGIBLE ASSETS

			G	ROSS BLOCK				PROVISION FO		NET BLOCK			
SI.No.	ASSET GROUP	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.11)	Additions	Deductions	Reclassifi cation	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.12)	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.11)	Depreciation for the year	Adjustments on Deductions	ications	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.12)	At the end of the year 2011-12	At the end of the year 2010-11
1	Free Hold Land	17813321	150000	0	0	17963321	0	0	0	0	0	17963321	17813321
2	Buildings	560129033	90080964	0	0	650209997	120586104	17334919	0	0	137921023	512288974	439542929
3	Plant and Eqipment										0		
	Plant and Machinery	13655674272	1996534936	47202447	0	15605006761	5698924400	958728751	18567417	0	6639085734	8965921028	7956749873
	Lines and Cable Network etc.	12823309857	1088475569	270366	0	13911515060	6670599862	777381322	66556	0	7447914628	6463600432	6152709995
	Meters and Metering equipment	2153646821	306394358	35527932	0	2424513247	1286318385	179656144	18537668	0	1447436861	977076386	867328436
4	Office Equipments			0							0		
	Office Equipments	9066796	2620155	0	0	11686951	6152440	533422	0	0	6685862	5001089	2914356
	Computers and IT Equipments	152738519	42840733	0	0	195579252	96253635	18010917	0	0	114264552	81314700	56484884
	Air conditioners	2289026	149450	0	0	2438476	1298964	140462	0	0	1439426	999049	990061
5	Furniture and Fixtures	32481099	414858	0	0	32895957	27103443	624722	0	0	27728165	5167792	5377656
6	Vehicles	24105248	0	19194	0	24086053	21271024	423699	17275	0	21677448	2408605	2834224
	SUB-TOTAL	29431253992	3527661023	83019939	0	32875895076	13928508257	1952834356	37188915	0	15844153698	17031741378	15502745736
	ii)INTANGIBLE ASSETS												
1	COMPUTER SOFTWARE	35932729	0	0	0	35932729				0	19223913		22315484
	SUB-TOTAL	35932729	0	0	0	35932729	13617245	5606668	0	0	19223913	16708816	22315484
	GRAND TOTAL	29467186721	3527661023	83019939	0	32911827805	13942125502	1958441024	37188915	0	15863377611	17048450194	15525061220

	(N/P)					ANNUAL AC	COUNTS2012-2013							
8.	NON-CURRENT ASSETS											(Amount in	Rupees)	
			GROS	SS BLOCK			•	PROVISION FO	R DEPRECIATION			NET BLOCK		
SI.No.	ASSET GROUP	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.12)	Additions	Deductions	Reclassif ication	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.13)	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.12)	Depreciation for the year	Adjustments on Deductions	Reclassif ications	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.13)	At the end of the year 2012-13	At the end of the year 2011-12	
1	Free Hold Land	17963321	0	0	0	17963321	0	0	0	C	0	17963321	17963321	
2	Buildings	650209997	241246891	0	0	891456888	137921023	22495812	0	C	160416835	731040053	512288974	
3	Plant and Eqipment					0					0	0	0	
	Plant and Machinery	15605006761	2308440990	59272178	0	17854175573	6639085734	1065585894	26796182	C	7677875446	10176300127	8965921027	
	Lines and Cable Network etc.	13911515060	968260911	8324	0	14879767647	7447914628	825595326	1579	C	8273508376	6606259272	6463600432	
	Meters and Metering equipment	2424513247	313830476	93261916	0	2645081808	1447436861	198807537	49976222	C	1596268176	1048813632	977076387	
4	Vehicles	24086053	1731582	0	0	25817635	21677448	1585	0	C	21679033	4138602	2408605	
5	Furniture and Fixtures	32895957	3565264	0	0	36461221	27728165	742281	0	C	28470447	7990774	5167792	
6	Office Equipments			0		0					0	0	0	
	Office Equipments	11686952	5942031	0	0	17628983	6685862	1183989	0	C	7869851	9759132	5001090	
	Computers and IT Equipments	195579252	18783415	0	0	214362667	114264552	15072281	0	C	129336833	85025835	81314700	
	Air conditioners	2438476	3492918	0	0	5931393	1439426	501054	0	C	1940480	3990913	999049	
	SUB-TOTAL ii)INTANGIBLE ASSETS	32875895077	3865294478	152542418	0	36588647136	15844153698	2129985760.44	76773983	0	17897365475.48	18691281661	17031741378	
—	COMPUTER SOFTWARE	35932729	21170036	0	0	57102765	19223913	6719871	0		25943784	31158981	16708816	
<u> </u>				0	0				0					
<u> </u>	SUB-TOTAL GRAND TOTAL	35932729 32911827806	21170036 3886464514	152542418	0	57102765 36645749901	19223913 15863377611	6719871 2136705631	76773983	- 0	25943784 17923309260	31158981 18722440642	16708816 17048450194	
Ь	GRAND IOTAL	32911827806	3060404314	152542418	U	30043749901	13863377611	2136703631	76773983		17923309260	18722440642	17048450194	



ANNUAL ACCOUNTS 2013-14 NORTHERN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY OF TELANGANA LIMITED FIXED ASSETS

12 NON-CURRENT ASSETS (Amount in Rupees)
i)TANGIBLE ASSETS

	I)TANOIDEE ASSETS												
			GRO	PROVISION FOR DEPRECIATION					NET BLOCK				
SI.No.	ASSET GROUP	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.13)	Additions	Deductions	Reclassif ication	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.14)	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.13)	Depreciation for the year	Adjustments on Deductions	Reclassif ications	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.14)	At the end of the year 2013-14	At the end of the year 2012-13
а	Free Hold Land	17,963,321	0	0	0	17,963,321	0	0	0	0	0	17,963,321	17,963,321
2	Buildings	891,456,888	94,771,396	0	0	986,228,283	160,416,835	27,512,748	0	0	187,929,582	798,298,701	731,040,053
3	Plant and Equipment												
	Plant and Machinery	17,854,175,573	1,496,853,709	86,054,510	0	19,264,974,773	7,677,875,446	1,194,472,739	45,250,319	0	8,827,097,866	10,437,876,906	10,176,300,127
	Lines and Cable Network etc.	14,879,767,647	856,933,120		0	15,736,700,767	8,273,508,376	846,222,891	0	0	9,119,731,267	6,616,969,500	6,606,259,272
	Meters and Metering equipment	2,645,081,808	332,011,763	48,425,286	0	2,928,668,284	1,596,268,176	215,630,454	19,095,544	0	1,792,803,086	1,135,865,197	1,048,813,632
4	Office Equipments												
	Office Equipments	17,628,983	3,680,610	0	0	21,309,593	7,869,851	1,494,458	0	0	9,364,309	11,945,284	9,759,132
	Computers and IT Equipments	214,362,667	54,084,782	0	0	268,447,449	129,336,833	17,772,850	0	0	147,109,683	121,337,767	85,025,835
	Air conditioners	5,931,393	885,080	0	0	6,816,473	1,940,480	709,153	0	0	2,649,633	4,166,840	3,990,913
5	Furniture and Fixtures	36,461,221	411,301	0	0	36,872,522	28,470,447	858,742	0	0	29,329,189	7,543,333	7,990,774
6	Vehicles	25,817,635	18,750	0	0	25,836,385	21,679,033	583,838	0	0	22,262,871	3,573,514	4,138,602
	SUB-TOTAL	36,588,647,136	2,839,650,510	134,479,796	0	39,293,817,850	17,897,365,476	2,305,257,875	64,345,863	0	20,138,277,487	19,155,540,363	18,691,281,661
	ii)INTANGIBLE ASSETS												
1	COMPUTER SOFTWARE	57,102,765	94,057,777	0	0	151,160,542	25,943,784	15,553,048	0	0	41,496,832	109,663,710	31,158,981
	SUB-TOTAL	57,102,765	94,057,777	0	0	151,160,542	25,943,784	15,553,048	0	0	41,496,832	109,663,710	31,158,981
	GRAND TOTAL	36,645,749,901	2,933,708,287	134,479,796	0	39,444,978,392	17,923,309,260	2,320,810,923	64,345,863	0	20,179,774,319	19,265,204,073	18,722,440,642



ANNUAL ACCOUNTS 2014-15 NORTHERN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY OF TELANGANA LIMITED

12 NON-CURRENT ASSETS (Amount in Rupees) i)TANGIBLE ASSETS

GROSS BLOCK PROVISION FOR DEPRECIATION **NET BLOCK** Recl Recla At the end of the SI.No. ASSET GROUP Adjustments on ssific assif Depreciation for At the end of the At the end of the year Additions **Deductions** year year year catio the year Deductions ation year 2014-15 year 2013-14 (as at 31.3.14) (as at 31.3.15) (as at 31.3.14) (as at 31.3.15) n S 8,500 17.954.821 1 Free Hold Land 17.963.321 0 0 0 0 0 17.954.821 17.963.321 986,228,283 71,199,783 10,546,243 1,046,881,823 187,929,582 29,431,301 1,979,698 0 215,381,185 831,500,638 798,298,701 2 Buildings Plant and Equipment 0 19,264,974,773 2,093,489,835 136,587,378 21,221,877,230 8,827,094,797 1,289,470,943 59,833,891 10,056,731,848 11,165,145,382 Plant and Machinery 0 0 10,437,879,976 15.736.700.767 1.076.026.208 181,201,639 16.631.525.336 9,119,734,338 853.345.765 106.538.310 0 9.866.541.792 6,764,983,544 6.616.966.429 Lines and Cable Network etc 2,928,668,284 389,380,434 66,886,836 0 3,251,161,882 1,792,803,086 236,893,989 36,202,929 0 1,993,494,146 1,257,667,736 1,135,865,198 Meters and Metering equipment 4 Office Equipments 0 0 0 21,309,593 1,667,825 91,393 0 22,886,025 9,364,309 1,988,888 41,595 0 11,311,602 11,574,423 11,945,284 Office Equipments Computers and IT Equipments 268,447,449 50.750.097 34.775 0 319.162.771 147,109,683 23.395.333 31.298 0 170,473,718 148.689.053 121.337.767 Air conditioners 6,816,473 238,900 0 0 7,055,373 2,649,633 739,540 0 0 3,389,173 3,666,200 4,166,840 36.872.522 317.020 832.707 285,318 29.876.578 7.543.333 Furniture and Fixtures 165,384 0 36.720.886 29,329,189 0 6,844,308 5 25,836,385 2,619,186 28,455,571 22,262,871 713,900 22,976,771 5,478,800 3,573,514 0 0 0 0 6 Vehicles SUB-TOTAL 39.293.817.850 3,685,537,652 395,673,783 0 42,583,681,718 20,138,277,487 2,436,812,365 204,913,038 22,370,176,815 20,213,504,903 19.155.540.363 ii)INTANGIBLE ASSETS 15.767.725 918.268 166,009,999 41,496,832 28.548.518 739,172 69.306.178 96.703.821 109.663.710 COMPUTER SOFTWARE 151,160,542 0 0 151,160,542 15,767,725 918,268 166,009,999 41,496,832 28,548,518 739,172 69,306,178 96,703,821 109,663,710 SUB-TOTAL 0 0 **GRAND TOTAL** 39,444,978,392 3,701,305,377 396,592,051 42,749,691,717 20,179,774,319 2,465,360,883 205,652,210 0 22,439,482,993 20,310,208,724 19,265,204,073



ANNUAL ACCOUNTS 2015-16 NORTHERN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY OF TELANGANA LIMITED

12 NON-CURRENT ASSETS (Amount in Rupees)
1) TANGIBLE ASSETS

			GRO			PROVISION		NET BLOCK					
SI.No.	ASSET GROUP	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.15)	Additions	Deductions	Reclas sificati on	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.16)	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.15)	Depreciation for the year	Adjustments on Deductions	Reclassi fications	At the end of the year (as at 31.3.16)	At the end of the year 2015-16	At the end of the year 2014-15
1	Free Hold Land	17,954,821	0	0	0	17,954,821	0	0	0	0	0	17,954,821	17,954,821
2	Buildings	1,046,881,823	140,467,575	0	0	1,187,349,398	215,381,185	31,653,243	0	0	247,034,428	940,314,970	831,500,638
3	Plant and Equipment	0				0	0				0	0	
	Plant and Machinery	21,221,877,230	2,100,505,715	31,032,405	0	23,291,350,540	10,056,731,848	1,514,949,542	18,849,252	0	11,552,832,138	11,738,518,402	11,165,145,382
	Lines and Cable Network etc.	16,631,525,336	2,229,311,064	0	0	18,860,836,400	9,866,541,792	1,375,456,842	0.00	0	11,241,998,634	7,618,837,766	6,764,983,544
	Meters and Metering equipment	3,251,161,882	873,233,098	7,088,290	0	4,117,306,690	1,993,494,146	696,471,358	4,204,470	0	2,685,761,034	1,431,545,656	1,257,667,736
4	Office Equipments												1
	Office Equipments	22,886,025	5,356,537	0	0	28,242,562	11,311,602	2,314,715	0	0	13,626,317	14,616,245	11,574,423
	Computers and IT Equipments	319,162,771	12,003,484	0	0	331,166,255	170,473,718	25,269,847	0	0	195,743,565	135,422,689	148,689,053
	Air conditioners	7,055,373	679,812	0	0	7,735,185	3,389,173	771,716	0	0	4,160,889	3,574,296	3,666,200
5	Furniture and Fixtures	36,720,886	502,670	0	0	37,223,556	29,876,578	818,326	0	0	30,694,904	6,528,652	6,844,308
6	Vehicles	28,455,571	0	0	0	28,455,571	22,976,771	1,280,075	0	0	24,256,846	4,198,725	5,478,800
	SUB-TOTAL	42,583,681,718	5,362,059,955	38,120,695	0	47,907,620,978	22,370,176,815	3,648,985,663	23,053,722	0	25,996,108,756	21,911,512,223	20,213,504,903
	ii)INTANGIBLE ASSETS												<u>-</u>
1	COMPUTER SOFTWARE	166,009,999	0	0	0	166,009,999	69,306,178	27,070,761	0	0	96,376,939	69,633,060	96,703,821
	SUB-TOTAL	166,009,999	0	0	0	166,009,999	69,306,178	27,070,761	0	0	96,376,939	69,633,060	96,703,821
	GRAND TOTAL	42,749,691,717	5,362,059,955	38,120,695	0	48,073,630,977	22,439,482,993	3,676,056,424	23,053,722	0	26,092,485,695	21,981,145,283	20,310,208,724



ANNUAL ACCOUNTS 2016-17

NORTHERN POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY OF TELANGANA LIMITED

12 NON-CURRENT ASSETS (Amount in Rupees) **GROSS BLOCK** PROVISION FOR DEPRECIATION **NET BLOCK** Recla SI.No. ASSET GROUP Reclas At the end of the year At the end of the year At the end of the year Depreciation for Adjustments on At the end of the year At the end of the At the end of the Additions Deductions ssific sificati (as at 31.3.16) (as at 31.3.17) (as at 31.3.16) the year Deductions (as at 31.3.17) year 2016-17 year 2015-16 ation Free Hold Land 17,954,821 0 469,015 17,485,806 0 0 17,485,806 17,954,821 1 331,884,577 15,422,498 1,503,811,477 3,404,582 1,221,610,325 940,314,970 2 Buildings 1,187,349,398 247,034,428 38,571,306 0 282,201,152 3 Plant and Equipment 23,291,350,540 4,276,902,724 668.384.578 26,899,868,686 11,552,832,138 1,549,551,202 387,359,092 12,715,024,248 14.184.844.438 11,738,518,402 Plant and Machinery 0 0 Lines and Cable Network etc. 18,860,836,400 1,976,229,390 411,861,312 20,425,204,478 11,241,998,634 1,034,167,505 251,885,931 0 12,024,280,208 8,400,924,270 7,618,837,766 635.502.923 4.117.306.690 119.875.343 0 4.632.934.270 2.685.761.034 304.381.610 71.091.239 2.919.051.405 1.713.882.865 1,431,545,656 Meters and Metering equipment 0 4 0 0 Office Equipments 28,242,562 14,530,568 0 3,488,414 197,807 Office Equipments 231,486 42,541,644 13,626,317 0 16,916,924 25,624,720 14,616,245 331,166,255 108,301,889 1,178,332 0 438,289,812 195,743,565 31,642,723 866,568 0 226,519,720 211,770,092 135,422,689 Computers and IT Equipments 878.660 5,039,549 7.735.185 1.231.670 0 8.966.855 4.160.889 0 3.927.306 3.574.296 Air conditioners 0 37,223,556 944,557 436,150 0 37,731,963 30.694.904 829,401 392,535 0 31,131,770 6.600.193 6,528,652 5 Furniture and Fixtures 6 Vehicles 28,455,571 83.850 508.687 28.030.735 24,256,846 882.586 457.818 0 24.681.614 3.349.121 4,198,725 SUB-TOTAL 47,907,620,978 7,345,612,149 1,218,367,401 54,034,865,726 25,996,108,756 2,964,393,407 715,655,572 0 28.244.846.590 25,790,019,136 21,911,512,223 ii)INTANGIBLE ASSETS 166,009,999 10,555,947 0 0 176,565,946 96,376,939 26,235,519 0 122,612,458 53,953,488 69,633,060 COMPUTER SOFTWARE 0 166,009,999 10,555,947 0 0 96,376,939 26,235,519 69,633,060 SUB-TOTAL 176,565,946 0 122,612,458 53,953,488 715,655,572 **GRAND TOTAL** 48,073,630,977 7,356,168,095 1,218,367,401 54,211,431,671 26,092,485,695 2,990,628,926 28,367,459,048 25,843,972,623 21,981,145,283

Note: 13 - PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

(Amount in Rupees)

			GROSS E	BLOCK			ACCUMULATED	DEPRECIATION		NET BLOCK		
SI.No.	ASSET GROUP	As at 01.04.2017	Additions	Deductions/ Adjustments	As at 31.03.2018	As at 01.04.2017	For the year	Deductions/ Adjustments	As at 31.03.2018	As at 31.03.2018	As at 31.03.2017	
I.	TANGIBLE ASSETS:											
1)	Free Hold Land	17,485,806	-	-	17,485,806	-	-	-	-	17,485,806	17,485,806	
2)	Buildings	1,503,811,477	439,336,909	-	1,943,148,386	282,201,152	49,212,938	-	331,414,090	1,611,734,296	1,221,610,325	
3)	Plant and Equipment				-				-			
	a) Plant and Machinery	26,899,868,686	3,323,036,838	24,497,261	30,198,408,263	12,715,024,248	1,782,182,657	16,574,034	14,480,632,872	15,717,775,392	14,184,844,438	
	b) Lines and Cable Network	20,425,204,478	1,907,018,060	-	22,332,222,538	12,024,280,208	1,108,455,330	-	13,132,735,538	9,199,487,000	8,400,924,270	
	c) Meters and Metering equipment	4,632,934,270	437,925,711	17,566,015	5,053,293,966	2,919,051,405	335,722,137	15,341,515		1,813,861,939	1,713,882,865	
4)	Office Equipment				-							
	a) Office Equipment	42,541,644	98,421,695	-	140,963,339	16,916,924	8,808,906	-	25,725,830	115,237,509	25,624,720	
	b) Air conditioners	8,966,855	449,775	-	9,416,630	5,039,549	926,741	-	5,966,290	3,450,340	3,927,306	
5)	Furniture and Fixture	37,731,963	4,804,328	-	42,536,291	31,131,770	902,661	-	32,034,431	10,501,860	6,600,193	
6)	Vehicles	28,030,735	58,749	-	28,089,484	24,681,614	512,749	-	25,194,363	2,895,121	3,349,121	
7)	Computers and IT Equipment	438,289,812	49,487,490	-	487,777,302	226,519,720	40,888,624	-	267,408,344	220,368,958	211,770,092	
	Sub Total (a)	54,034,865,726	6,260,539,555	42,063,276	60,253,342,005	28,244,846,590	3,327,612,743	31,915,549	31,540,543,784	28,712,798,221	25,790,019,136	
II.	INTANGIBLE ASSETS:								-			
	Computer Software	176,565,946	-	1,721	176,564,225	122,612,458	26,871,548	-	149,484,006	27,080,219	53,953,488	
	Sub Total (b)	176,565,946	-	1,721	176,564,225	122,612,458	26,871,548	-	149,484,006	27,080,219	53,953,488	
	Grand Total (a+b)	54,211,431,672	6,260,539,555	42,064,997	60,429,906,230	28,367,459,048	3,354,484,291	31,915,549	31,690,027,790	28,739,878,440	25,843,972,623	
	Previous Year	48,073,630,977	7,356,168,095	1,218,367,401	54,211,431,671	26,092,485,695	2,990,628,926	715,655,572	28,367,459,048	25,843,972,623	21,981,145,283	

Note: 13 - PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

(Amount in Rupees)

			GROSS	BLOCK			ACCUMULATED	DEPRECIATION		NET BLOCK	
SI.No.	ASSET GROUP	As at 01.04.2018	Additions	Deductions/ Adjustments	As at 31.03.2019	As at 01.04.2018	For the year	Deductions/ Adjustments	As at 31.03.2019	As at 31.03.2019	As at 31.03.2018
I.	TANGIBLE ASSETS:										
1)	Free Hold Land	17,485,806			17,485,806	-	-	-	-	17,485,806	17,485,806
2)	Buildings	1,943,148,386	521,114,934		2,464,263,320	331,414,090	63,766,059	-	395,180,149	2,069,083,171	1,611,734,296
3)	Plant and Equipment	-			-	-			-	-	
	a) Plant and Machinery	30,198,408,263	4,679,380,967	5,147,795	34,872,641,435	14,480,632,872	2,024,548,326	3,150,060	16,502,031,137	18,370,610,298	15,717,775,392
	b) Lines and Cable Network	22,332,222,538	4,122,761,257	32,492	26,454,951,303	13,132,735,538	1,263,796,944	656	14,396,531,826	12,058,419,477	9,199,487,000
	c) Meters and Metering equipment	5,053,293,966	426,771,344	14,026,156	5,466,039,154	3,239,432,027	352,069,881	12,448,154	3,579,053,754	1,886,985,400	1,813,861,939
4)	Office Equipment	-			-	-			-	-	
	a) Office Equipment	140,963,339	6,701,244		147,664,583	25,725,830	17,338,583	-	43,064,413	104,600,170	115,237,509
	b) Air conditioners	9,416,630	177,314		9,593,944	5,966,290	923,004	-	6,889,294	2,704,650	3,450,340
5)	Furniture and Fixture	42,536,291	4,465,974		47,002,265	32,034,431	1,606,719	-	33,641,150	13,361,115	10,501,860
6)	Vehicles	28,089,484	2,784,195		30,873,679	25,194,363	903,665	-	26,098,028	4,775,651	2,895,121
7)	Computers and IT Equipment	487,777,302	129,877,172		617,654,474	267,408,344	55,653,054	-	323,061,398	294,593,076	220,368,958
	Sub Total (a)	60,253,342,005	9,894,034,401	19,206,443	70,128,169,963	31,540,543,784	3,780,606,235	15,598,870	35,305,551,149	34,822,618,814	28,712,798,221
II.	INTANGIBLE ASSETS:								-		
	Computer Software	176,564,225			176,564,225	149,484,006	18,510,141	-	167,994,147	8,570,078	27,080,219
	Sub Total (b)	176,564,225			176,564,225	149,484,006	18,510,141	-	167,994,147	8,570,078	27,080,219
	Grand Total (a+b)	60,429,906,230	9,894,034,401	19,206,443	70,304,734,188	31,690,027,790	3,799,116,376	15,598,870	35,473,545,296	34,831,188,892	28,739,878,440
	Previous Year	54,211,431,671	6,260,539,555	42,064,997	60,429,906,230	28,367,459,048	3,354,484,291	31,915,549	31,690,027,790	28,739,878,440	25,843,972,623

Note: 13 - PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

- (Amount in Rupees)

			GROSS	BLOCK			ACCUMULATED		NET BLOCK		
SI.No.	ASSET GROUP	As at 01.04.2019	Additions	Deductions/ Adjustments	As at 31.03.2020	As at 01.04.2019	For the year	Deductions/ Adjustments	As at 31.03.2020	As at 31.03.2020	As at 31.03.2019
I.	TANGIBLE ASSETS:										
1)	Free Hold Land	17,485,806			17,485,806	-	-	-	-	17,485,806	17,485,806
2)	Buildings	2,464,263,320	283,178,200		2,747,441,520	395,180,149	77,785,823	-	472,965,972	2,274,475,548	2,069,083,171
3)	Plant and Equipment	-			-	-			-	-	-
	a) Plant and Machinery	34,872,641,435	3,468,430,311	35,505,414	38,305,566,332	16,502,031,137	2,323,458,793	21,806,237	18,803,683,693	19,501,882,639	18,370,610,298
	b) Lines and Cable Network	26,454,951,303	3,943,151,830	20,036,272	30,378,066,861	14,396,531,826	1,543,008,728	518,391	15,939,022,163	14,439,044,698	12,058,419,477
	c) Meters and Metering equipment	5,466,039,154	713,614,222	84,954,758	6,094,698,618	3,579,053,754	396,518,847	75,086,415	3,900,486,186	2,194,212,431	1,886,985,400
4)	Office Equipment	-			-	-			-	-	-
	a) Office Equipment	147,664,583	11,516,477	25,769	159,155,291	43,064,413	18,150,990	16,013	61,199,390	97,955,901	104,600,170
	b) Air conditioners	9,593,944	530,584		10,124,528	6,889,294	648,182	-	7,537,476	2,587,052	2,704,650
5)	Furniture and Fixture	47,002,265	2,292,668		49,294,933	33,641,150	1,964,189	-	35,605,339	13,689,594	13,361,115
6)	Vehicles	30,873,679		1,389,470	29,484,209	26,098,028	961,220	1,250,523	25,808,725	3,675,484	4,775,651
7)	Computers and IT Equipment	617,654,474	138,575,761		756,230,235	323,061,398	61,822,743	-	384,884,141	371,346,094	294,593,076
											-
	Sub Total (a)	70,128,169,963	8,561,290,053	141,911,683	78,547,548,333	35,305,551,149	4,424,319,515	98,677,579	39,631,193,085	38,916,355,248	34,822,618,814
II.	INTANGIBLE ASSETS:										
	Computer Software	176,564,225	154,732,895		331,297,120	167,994,147	24,345,284	-	192,339,431	138,957,689	8,570,078
	Sub Total (b)	176,564,225	154,732,895		331,297,120	167,994,147	24,345,284	-	192,339,431	138,957,689	8,570,078
	Grand Total (a+b)	70,304,734,188	8,716,022,948	141,911,683	78,878,845,453	35,473,545,296	4,448,664,799	98,677,579	39,823,532,516	39,055,312,937	34,831,188,892
	Previous Year	60,429,906,230	9,894,034,401	19,206,443	70,304,734,188	31,690,027,790	3,799,116,376	15,598,870	35,473,545,296	34,831,188,892	28,739,878,440

Note: 13 - PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

- (Amount in Rupees)

			GROSS B	LOCK			ACCUMULATED	DEPRECIATION		NET BLOCK		
SI.No.	ASSET GROUP	As at 01.04.2020	Additions	Deductions/ Adjustments	As at 31.03.2021	As at 01.04.2020	For the year	Deductions/ Adjustments	As at 31.03.2021	As at 31.03.2021	As at 31.03.2020	
l.	TANGIBLE ASSETS:											
1)	Free Hold Land	17,485,806	5,900		17,491,706	-			-	17,491,706	17,485,806	
2)	Buildings	2,747,441,520	312,730,129	1,202,299	3,058,969,350	472,965,972	95,193,363	355,835	567,803,500	2,491,165,850	2,274,475,548	
3)	Plant and Equipment	-			-	-			-	-	-	
	a) Plant and Machinery	38,305,566,332	2,397,437,067	93,103,529	40,609,899,870	18,803,683,693	1,600,567,129	75,124,284	20,329,126,538	20,280,773,332	19,501,882,639	
	b) Lines and Cable Network	30,378,066,861	4,205,705,948	13,133,975	34,570,638,834	15,939,022,163	1,214,739,024	11,683,897	17,142,077,290	17,428,561,544	14,439,044,698	
	c) Meters and Metering equipment	6,094,698,618	534,693,640	189,408,846	6,439,983,412	3,900,486,186	179,087,053	163,606,611	3,915,966,628	2,524,016,784	2,194,212,431	
4)	Office Equipment	-			-	-			-	-	-	
	a) Office Equipment	159,155,291	3,640,453		162,795,744	61,199,390	9,069,035		70,268,425	92,527,319	97,955,901	
	b) Air conditioners	10,124,528	173,000		10,297,528	7,537,476	180,251		7,717,727	2,579,801	2,587,052	
5)	Furniture and Fixture	49,294,933	1,212,146		50,507,079	35,605,339	896,213		36,501,552	14,005,527	13,689,594	
6)	Vehicles	29,484,209	79,450	854,385	28,709,274	25,808,725	270,585	768,947	25,310,363	3,398,911	3,675,484	
7)	Computers and IT Equipment	756,230,235	21,661,291	2,297,725	775,593,801	384,884,141	99,851,467	2,278,525	482,457,083	293,136,718	371,346,094	
	Sub Total (a)	78,547,548,333	7,477,339,024	300,000,759	85,724,886,598	39,631,193,085	3,199,854,120	253,818,099	42,577,229,106	43,147,657,492	38,916,355,248	
II.	INTANGIBLE ASSETS:								-			
	Computer Software	331,297,120	11,196,552		342,493,672	192,339,431	26,353,817	-	218,693,248	123,800,424	138,957,689	
	Sub Total (b)	331,297,120	11,196,552		342,493,672	192,339,431	26,353,817	-	218,693,248	123,800,424	138,957,689	
	Grand Total (a+b)	78,878,845,453	7,488,535,576	300,000,759	86,067,380,270	39,823,532,516	3,226,207,937	253,818,099	42,795,922,354	43,271,457,916	39,055,312,937	
	Previous Year	70,304,734,188	8,716,022,948	141,911,683	78,878,845,453	35,473,545,296	4,448,664,799	98,677,579	39,823,532,516	39,055,312,937	34,831,188,892	